



Scholars Research Library

Der Pharmacia Lettre, 2010: 2 (1) 342-346
(<http://scholarsresearchlibrary.com/archive.html>)



Formulation and Evaluation of Mouth Dissolving Tablets

Anantha Lakshmi Pallikonda*, Ravindar Bairam, M. Motilal, Mekala Shubash Kumar

Department of Pharmaceutics, S.R.M. College of Pharmacy, S.R.M. University, Kattankulathur,
Chennai, India

Abstract

To improve patient compliance, Mouth Dissolving Tablets (MDT's) have emerged as an alternative to conventional oral dosage forms. Due to declaim in swallowing ability with age, elderly patients complain that it is difficult for them to administer some currently used dosage forms such as tablets and capsules. MDT's are solid dosage forms that dissolve or disintegrate rapidly in the oral cavity, resulting in solution suspension without need of water. Absorption starts from mouth. The main objective of this work is to formulate and evaluate Domperidone MDT's. It acts as an ant emetic used in the treatment of motion sickness. Different batches of tablets were prepared using higher and lower concentrations of superdisintegrants like croscarmellose sodium, crospovidone (C.P), sodium starch glycolate (SSG), while MCC was used as diluents. Tablets were prepared by slugging method. Different evaluations tests like Hardness, Friability, Wetting and disintegration times, % drug release were performed. Tablets containing along with crospovidone were disintegrate rapidly below 20sec and % drug release is 99% at 4th minute. Tablets with added patient benefits and increased consumer satisfaction.

Keywords: Formulation, Evaluation of Domperidone, Mouth Dissolving Tablets.

Introduction

The oral route of administration is the most important method of administering drugs for systemic effects. The most popular dosage forms being tablets and capsules, one important drawback of the dosage forms however is the difficulty to swallow. Dysphasia or difficulty in swallowing is seen to afflict nearly 35% of the general population. This disorder is also associated with number of medical conditions including stroke, Parkinson's disease, AIDS, head and neck radiation therapy and other neurological disorders including cerebral palsy. Recent advances in Novel Drug Delivery System aim to enhance safety and efficacy of drug

molecule by formulating a convenient dosage form for better patient compliance. One such approach is MDT^S Domperidone, prepared by dry granulation method.

Orally disintegrating tablets contain a wide variety of pharmaceutical actives covering many therapeutic categories, and can be particularly good applications for pediatric and geriatric treatments. The time for disintegration of orally disintegrating tablets is generally considered to be less than one minute, although patients can experience actual oral disintegration times that typically range from 5-30 seconds. Orally disintegrating tablets are characterized by high porosity, low density, and low hardness. When administered, an in-situ suspension is created in the oral cavity as the tablet disintegrates and is subsequently swallowed. Nonetheless, orally disintegrating tablets have gained acceptance and market share, and have achieved reputable status amongst product life cycle management strategies

Materials and Methods

Formulation Designing

2nd factorial design technique was used for formulation designing. In this “2” is factor i.e. combination of two super- disintegrants at a time and “n” indicates level i.e. higher and lower concentration. Twelve formulations were designed. Sodium starch glycolate was used in concentration of 2% and 8%, croscarmellose sodium 1% and 3%, crospovidone 2% and 5%, MCC was used as diluents.

Formulation composition-Table-I

| S.No | Ingredients | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | F7 | F8 | F9 | F10 | F11 | F12 |
|------|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | Domperidone | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 2 | Na starch glycolate | 16 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 16 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | Croscarmellose sodium | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| 4 | Crospovidone | - | - | - | - | 10 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 4 |
| 5 | Mannitol | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 6 | Micro. Cry. (Avicel) | 142 | 146 | 154 | 158 | 138 | 144 | 150 | 156 | 148 | 154 | 152 | 158 |
| 7 | Mg stearate | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | Aspartame | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | flavor | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

Evaluation of tablets

Weight variation:

Twenty tablets were selected at random and average weight was determined. Then individual tablets were weighted and the individual weight was compared with an average weight. Not more than two of the individual weights deviate from the average weight by more than the percentage shown in table and none deviate by more than twice that percentage.

Hardness:

This is to force required to break a tablet in diametric compression. Hardness of the tablets is determined by stock's Monsanto hardness tester which consists of a barrel with a compressible spring. The pointer moving along the gauze in the barrel at which the tablet fractures

Friability:

This test is performed to evaluate the ability to withstand abrasion in packing, handling and transporting. Twenty pre weighed tablets will be rotated at 25rpm for 4 minutes, then reweighed after removal of fines (using no 60 mesh screen), and the percentage weight loss was calculated accordingly.

Tablet size and Thickness

The size and thickness of the tablets were measured by using Vernier Caliper scale

Wetting time:

This test is especially meant for MDT's A piece of tissue paper (10cm diameter folded twice will be placed in small Petridis containing 6 ml of simulated saliva pH-9, a tablet will put on the paper, and the time for complete wetting was measured

Water absorption ratio:

A piece of tissue paper folded twice was placed in a small Petri dish containing 6ml of water. A tablet was put on the paper and the time required for complete wetting was measured. The wetted tablet was then weighed. Water absorption ratio R, was determined using following equation,

$$R = 100 \frac{W_a - W_b}{W_b}$$

Where W_a = weight of tablet after absorption

W_b = weight of tablet before absorption

***In vitro* dispersion time:**

It will measure by dropping a tablet in a measuring cylinder containing 6ml of pH 6.8 (simulated saliva fluid). *In – vitro* dispersion time was measured.

Disintegration Time:

For this purpose, a Petridis (10cm diameter), filled with 6ml of 6.8 P^h buffer, will taken and then randomly selected tablet will be carefully put in the centre of the Petri dish and the time for the tablet to completely disintegrate into fine particles was noted.

Dissolution study:

This was done by USP type II dissolution apparatus is used. For this paddle was used. The speed of the paddle was 100rpm. The dissolution medium was 250ml of 0.1N Hydrochloric acid at a temperature of 22^oc. The time of sampling was every 30sec up to 5mts and final sample was taken at 10th minute. 5ml of sample was withdrawn and an equal amount of 0.1NHcl was replaced to maintain sink conditions, and directly analyzed the samples by using U.V Spectro photometer without any dilution. Concentration of the drug was calculated from standard

equation obtained from standard curve. Cumulative percentage drug release and percentage drug unreleased was calculated and respective graphs were plotted.

Results

Tablets were obtained of uniform weight due to uniform die fill, with acceptable variation as per I.P. specifications, i.e. below 7.5%. Hardness of the tablets for each formulation was 2-3 Kg/cm². Friability below 1% was an indication of good mechanical resistance of the tablets. Water absorption ratio, which is important criteria for understanding the capacity of disintegrates to swell in presence of little amount of water, was calculated. It was above tablet weight i.e. above 200mg. In – vitro dispersion time was less for formulations containing crospovidone compare to other super – disintegrates. Drug release was found to be 99% at 4th minute. While conventional marketed tablet require more time for same amount of drug to be released.

Evaluation of Tablets-Table-II

| S.No | Test | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | F7 | F8 | F9 | F10 | F11 | F12 |
|------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | Wt.variation | 4.5 | 5 | 4 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 3 | 6 | 3.5 | 3 |
| 2 | Hardness | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3 | 3 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 3 | Friability | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 4 | Thickness | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 5 | 4.9 | 5 | 5 | 5.2 | 5 | 5.1 | 5 |
| 5 | Wetting time | 120 | 60 | 60 | 180 | 20 | 25 | 28 | 26 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 15 |
| 6 | Water absorption ratio | 198 | 197 | 206 | 132 | 222 | 233 | 178 | 179 | 202 | 211 | 218 | 179 |
| 7 | Disintegration time(sec) | 78 | 122 | 16 | 180 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 8 | In-vitro dispersion time(sec) | 76 | 120 | 14 | 178 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 19 |
| 9 | Diameter(cm) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | %drug release | 75 | 76 | 88 | 51 | 115 | 79 | 81 | 60 | 92 | 99 | 97 | 82 |

Discussion

Tablets were evaluated for weight variation, hardness, friability, in – vitro dispersion time and dissolution study. Tablets were having uniform weight. Hardness and friability data indicates good mechanical resistance of the tablets. Formulations containing Crospovidone shows better results. Super –disintegrates were used in various combinations at higher and lower concentration. At lower level also excellent disintegration time is obtained. Hence there is no need to use higher concentration. Mannitol, Aspartame and flavor enhance the organoleptic properties.

Conclusion

Formulations without crospovidone were showing higher disintegration time. Formulations containing combination of croscarmellose sodium and crospovidone shows lower disintegration timings i.e. below 20sec, higher water absorption ratio and 99% drug release was found at 4th minute compare to formulations containing combination of sodium starch glycolate and crospovidone, except formulation containing both higher concentration of SSG and C.P. Final conclusion is formulations 5, 10 and 11 showing excellent results i.e. lower disintegration, wetting timings and 99% drug release was found at 4th minute.

Acknowledgment

With great respect and honor, I am most fortunate and deeply indebted to Dr. M.Mothilal, Professor, for his insensate encouragement, and support through out the program of this work. With pleasure I express my sincere gratitude to Dr. K. S. Lakshmi, Dean, SRM College of pharmacy for her keen interest and for provides lab facilities to carry out this work and grateful to SRM University for providing the essential requirements and high mined contribution through the course of this work.

References

- [1] Shishu., Ashima bhatti and Tejbir Singh, *Indian J. of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, **2007**, 69, 80-84.
- [2] Margret Chandira, Sachin, B. S. Venkateshwarlu, Debjit Bhowmik, B. Jayakar, *Der Pharmacia Lettre*; **2009**, 1 (1):83-9.
- [3] Sarasiza suresh., Pandit .V and Jashi H.P, *Indian J. of Pharmaceutical sciences*. **2007**, 69, 467-469.
- [4] Revathi V., fast dissolving drug delivery system, *Pharma times*, **2007**, 39, 22-23.
- [5] Sreenivas S.A., Gadai A.P, Dandagi P.M, Masti holimath V.S and Patil MB, *Indian drugs*, **2006**, 43(1), 35 -38.
- [6] Purima Amim., Namita Prabhu and Anita wodhwani, *Indian J .of Pharmaceutical sciences*, **2006**, 68, 117-119.
- [7] Chaudhari P.D., Chaudhari S.P, Kolhe S.R, Dave K.V and More D.M, *Indian drugs* **2005**, 42(10), 641-649.
- [8] Amim P.D., Gupta S.S, Prabhu N.B and Wadhvani A.R, *Indian drugs*, **2005**, 42(9), 614-617.
- [9] Kuchekar B.S., Badhan A.C, Mahajan H.S, *Indian drugs* **2004**, 41(10), 592-597.
- [10] Kaushik D, Dureja H and Saini T.R, *Indian drugs*, **2004**, 41(7), 410-412.
- [11] Nayak S.M and Gopal kumar P, *Indian drugs*, **2004**, 41(9), 554-556.
- [12] Pandey S, Shenoy V and Agarwal S, *Indian J. of pharmaceutical Sciences*, **2003**, 65, 197- 201.
- [13] N. Vivien, R. Gauri, Parshoen, M. Madan, *Euro J Pharm Biopharm*, **2002**, 50, 109-115.
- [14] J Swarbrick, JC. Boylon, "Encyclopedia of Pharmaceutical Technology" Marcel Dekker, Volume-10, 458-460.