Method development and validation of atovaquone in rat plasma by UPLC-UV detection and its application to a pharmacokinetic study
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ABSTRACT

A simple, sensitive, selective, rapid, rugged, reproducible and specific Ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) method was used for a quantitative estimation of Atovaquone (AQ) in Rat plasma using 2,3-diphenyl-1-indenone (AQIS) as an internal standard (IS). Chromatographic separation was performed on Waters Acquity UPLC® BEH C18, 2.1 x 50 mm, 1.7 µm column with an isocratic mobile phase composed of 0.1% formic acid: methanol (20:80 v/v), at a flow-rate of 0.2 mL/min AQ and AQIS were detected with waters TUV detector at UV wavelength 277 (nm). Liquid-liquid extraction method was used and validated over a linear concentration range of 50.0-10000.0 ng/mL with a correlation coefficient of \( (r^2) \geq 0.9981 \). The lower limit of quantification (LOQ), Limit of detection (LOD) was found as 50.0 ng/mL and 100 p.g respectively. Intra and inter-day precision within 1.0 to 8.5 and 1.9 to 4.3 % and accuracy within 101.0 to 107.5 and 103.9 to 104.7 % for AQ. Drug found to be stable throughout three freeze-thaw cycles. This method was successfully applied into a pharmacokinetic study of rats through i.v administration.
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INTRODUCTION

Atovaquone is an antiprotozoal agent. The chemical name of atovaquone is \textit{trans} 2-[4-(4-chlorophenyl)cyclohexyl]-3-hydroxy-1,4-naphthalenedione. Atovaquone is a yellow crystalline solid that is practically insoluble in water. It has a molecular weight of 366.84 and the molecular formula \( \text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{19}\text{ClO}_{3} \)[1].

The bioavailability of atovaquone is low and variable and is highly dependent on formulation and diet. Bioavailability of the suspension increases two-fold when administered with meals. When administered with food, bioavailability is approximately 47%. Without food, the bioavailability is 23%. Atovaquone is extensively bound to plasma proteins (99.9%) over the concentration range of 1 to 90 µg/mL. Half life 2.2 to 3.2 days [2-6].

Literature survey reveals that, there are few methods reported for quantification of Atavaquone in Biological [7-14], Pharmaceutical [15, 16]. These methods which are developed by LC-MS [7, 8], HPLC [9-14]. There is no method reported for quantification of Atavaquone in biological matrices by UPLC.
The aim of the present research includes development and validation of Atavaquone in Rat plasma by using UPLC. This method was successfully applied into a pharmacokinetic study of rats through i.v administration.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and Reagents**
Atavaquone ($AQ$) (98.90% purity) was purchased from USP and 2,3-diphenyl-1-indenone ($AQIS$) (97.50% purity) was obtained from Creative Organics, India. HPLC grade methanol and acetonitrile were purchased from Jt. Baker Mallinckrodt Baker, Inc. Phillipsburg, NJ, USA. N, N-Dimethylformamide (reagent grade) was purchased from Merck Limited, worli, Mumbai. Methyl t-butyl ether was purchased from Merck speciality private limited, worli, Mumbai. Rat plasma ($K_2$EDTA) was obtained from Bioneeds, Bangalore, India. Ultra pure water from Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) was used through the study. All other chemicals in this study were of analytical grade.

**Instrumentation and Chromatographic Conditions**
UPLC was performed using Waters Acquity system equipped with binary solvent delivery pump, an autosampler and tunable UV detector. The chromatographic separation was performed using Waters Acquity BEH, 2.1 x 50 mm, 1.7 µm C18 analytical column at 30°C. The mobile phase containing a mixture of 0.1% formic acid and methanol in the ratio of 20:80 (v/v) at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min was used. The Injection volume was 10 µL. The detection was obtained at a wavelength of 277 nm. $AQ$ and $AQIS$ were eluted at 5.1 ± 0.2 min, 2.5 ± 0.2 min with a total run time of 8 min for each sample.

**Preparation of Standards, Calibration and Quality control (QC) Samples**
Standard stock solutions of $AQ$ (1000.00µg /ml) and $AQIS$ (100.00µg /ml) were prepared in methanol. The IS spiking solutions (8.00 µg /ml) were prepared in 50% methanol from $AQIS$ standard stock solution. Standard stock solutions and IS spiking solutions were stored in refrigerator conditions (2-8 °C) until analysis. Standard stock solution $AQ$ was added to drug free Rat plasma to obtain $AQ$ concentration levels of 50.0, 100.0, 250.0, 500.00, 1000.00, 2000.00, 4000.00, 6000.00, 8000.00 and 10000.00 ng/mL for analytical standards and 50.00, 150.00, 3000.00, 7000.00 ng/mL (LLOQ, LQC, MQC, HQC) for quality control standards and stored in the freezer below -30°C until analysis. The aqueous standards were prepared in reconstitution solution (0.1% formicacid : methanol (20:80 v/v)) and stored in the refrigerator (2-8°C) for validation experiments until analysis.
Liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) was used to isolate AQ and AQIS from Rat plasma. 50 µL of AQIS (10.0 µg /ml) and 100 µL respective plasma concentrations were added into polypropylene tubes and vortexed briefly. This was followed by addition of 2.5 ml of methyl t-butyl ether into each tube and vortexed for 10 min. All the samples were centrifuged at 4000 g force, 20°C for 10 min and transferred the supernatant from each sample into respective polypropylene tubes. Samples were evaporated to dryness under nitrogen at 40°C. Finally samples were reconstituted with 200 µL of reconstitution solution (0.1% formic acid : methanol (20:80 v/v)) and vortexed briefly. From this 10 µL of each sample was injected into the UPLC system.

Linearity, Precision and Accuracy
The analytical curves were constructed using values ranging from 50.00 to 10000.00 ng/ mL for AQ in Rat plasma. Calibration curves were obtained by 1/Conc² quadratic regression analysis. Calibration curves were plotted against the ratio of instrument response (peak area ratio AQ/AQIS ) versus AQ concentration. Calibration curve standard samples and quality control samples were prepared in replicates (n=6) for analysis. Precision and accuracy for the back calculated concentrations of the calibration points were maintained within ± 15% of their nominal values. However, for LLOQ the precision and accuracy are within ± 20%.

Selectivity & Specificity
The selectivity of the method was determined by blank Rat plasma samples from six different lots to test the potential interferences of endogenous compounds co-eluted with AQ and AQIS. The Chromatographic peaks of AQ and AQIS were identified based on their retention times. The mean peak area of LOQ for AQ and AQIS at corresponding retention time in blank samples should not be more than 20 and 5% respectively.

Limits of Quantification (LOQ) and Detection (LOD)
LOQ was estimated in accordance with baseline noise method. The LOQ was estimated at a signal-to-noise ratio(S/N) of 5. The LOQ was experimentally performed by six injections of AQ at LOQ concentration (Fig.3b) .Signal to noise ratio was (S/N) calculated “by selecting the noise region as close as possible to the signal peak, which is at least 8 times the width of the signal peak at half height”.

Recovery
Recovery of AQ was evaluated by comparing the mean peak area of six extracted low, medium and high quality control samples (150.00, 3000.00 and 7000.00 ng/ml) to the mean peak area of six post spiked with equal amounts of quality control samples.

Similarly, the recovery of AQIS was evaluated by comparing the mean peak area of extracted quality control samples to the AQIS in post spiked samples with the same amount of AQIS.

Stability
LQC and HQC samples (n=6) were retrieved from a deep freezer after three freeze/thaw cycles according to clinical protocol. Samples were stored at −10 to −30°C in three cycles of 24, 36, and 48 h. In addition, the long-term stability of AQ in QC samples was also evaluated after 92 days of storage at −10 to −30°C. Post spiked stability was studied following a 50 h storage period in the auto sampler tray. Bench top stability was studied for a 42 h period. Stability samples were processed and extracted along with the freshly spiked calibration curve standards. The precision and accuracy for the stability samples must be within 15 and ±15%.

Analysis of Rat Samples
The validated method has been successfully used to quantify atovaquone concentrations in rat plasma. The study was conducted according to current GCP guidelines. Before conducting the study it was also approved by an authorized animal ethics committee. There was a total of 10 blood collection time points including the predose sample (0.25, 1, 2, 4, 10, 21, 33, 45, 117, 213 hr). The blood samples were collected in separate vacutainers containing K₂EDTA as an anticoagulant. The plasma from these samples was separated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm within the range of 2–8 °C. The plasma samples thus obtained were stored at −30°C until analysis. Post analysis the pharmacokinetic parameters were computed using WinNonlin® software version 5.2.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Method Development and Validation
The goal of this research is to develop and validate a simple, selective, sensitive, rapid, rugged and reproducible assay method for the quantitative determination of AQ from plasma samples. In the way to develop a simple and easy applicable method for AQ assay in Rat plasma for pharmacokinetic study, UPLC with UV detection was selected as the method of choice. Chromatographic Optimization and Extraction optimization to be optimized carefully to achieve the best results. The UPLC optimization was performed by direct infusion of solutions of both AQ and AQIS into the UPLC chamber. Other parameters, such as the UV region optimization, Chromatographic conditions, especially, selection of column, composition and nature of the mobile phase were optimized through several trials to achieve best resolution and increase the signal of AQ and AQIS. Separation was tried using various combinations of mobile phase with variety of columns. After that, the mobile phase was changed from more aqueous phase to organic phase to obtain a fast and selective LC method. A good separation and elution were achieved using 0.1% formicacid: methanol (20:80 v/v) as the mobile phase, at a flow-rate of 0.2mL/min and injection volume of 10µl. Chromatographic analysis of the analyte and IS was initiated under isocratic conditions with an aim to develop a simple separation process with a short run time. A simple LLE technique was used in the extraction of AQ and AQIS from the plasma samples.
Selectivity and Specificity
Chromatograms obtained from plasma spiked with AQ (50.0 ng/ml) and AQIS (10.0 µg/ml) are shown in Fig 2 and plasma spiked with AQ (10000.0 ng/ml) and AQIS (10.0 µg/ml) are shown in Fig 3. The analysis of AQ and AQIS was highly selective with no interfering compounds [Fig 4], [Fig 5].

Limits of Quantification (LOQ) and Detection (LOD)
The LOQ and LOD was found for this method is 50.00 ng/ mL100 p.g with S/N above 16.5 at the LOQ and above 3 at the LOD.

Linearity, Precision and Accuracy
Calibration curves were plotted as the instrument response (peak area ratio AQ/AQIS) versus AQ concentration. Calibration was found to be linear over the concentration range of 50.0 – 10000.0 ng/ml. The RSD’s was less than 4.1% and the accuracy ranged from 98.8 to 100.8 %. The determination coefficients (r²) were greater than 0.9981 for all curves. These results indicate adequate reliability and reproducibility of this method within the analytical range (Table 1).
Precision and accuracy for this method were controlled by SS calculating the intra and inter-batch variations at three concentrations (150.00, 3000.00 and 7000.00 ng/ml) of QC samples in six replicates. As shown in Table 2 intra and inter-day precision within 1.4 to 2.8 and 5.2 to 6.4 % and accuracy within 96.5 to 100.4% and 99.1 to 101.5% for AQ.

**Table 1: Calibration curves details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spiked plasma concentration (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Concentration measured (mean) (ng/mL) ± SD</th>
<th>CV (%) (n = 5)</th>
<th>Accuracy %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>100.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>98.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>250.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>100.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>503.9 ± 9.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>100.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>994.3 ± 4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000.0</td>
<td>2014.1 ± 38.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>100.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000.0</td>
<td>3992.8 ± 89.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000.0</td>
<td>5945.6 ± 241.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000.0</td>
<td>8018.0 ± 101.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>100.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td>10051.2 ± 311.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stability (Freeze-thaw, Auto sampler, Bench top, Long term)
Quantification of the AQ in plasma subjected to 3 freeze-thaw (-30°C to room temperature) cycles show the stability of AQ. No significant degradation of the AQ was observed even after 50 h storage periods in the auto sampler tray and the final concentrations of AQ was between 98.1 to 98.4 %. In addition, the long-term stability of AQ in QC samples after 92 days of storage at -30°C was also evaluated. The concentrations ranged from 102.1 to 103.5% of the theoretical values. These results confirmed the stability of AQ in Rat plasma for at least 92 days at -30°C. The bench top stability 42 h was proved for AQ with concentrations ranged from 103.1 to 106.6% (Table-3).

Recovery
The extraction recoveries of AQ were determined at three different concentrations 150.00, 3000.00 and 7000.00 ng /ml, were found to be 76.85 ± 7.66, 74.48 ± 5.02 and 75.18 ± 2.26 %, respectively. The overall average recoveries of AQ and AQIS were found to be 75.50 ± 4.98 and 69.73 ± 4.54% respectively.
Application to Biological samples

The validated method has been successfully applied to quantify darifenacin concentrations into a single-dose (4.5 mg/200g) in rats. Male Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from Bioneeds, Bangalore. After i.v administration of drug via left femoral vein 0.2 ml of blood samples for analytical determinations were collected via the right femoral vein at specific time intervals for 213 h. Plasma samples were stored at −30 °C until analysis. The study was carried out after approval from an independent animal ethics committee. The pharmacokinetic parameters evaluated were Cmax (maximum observed drug concentration during the study), AUC0-213 (area under the plasma concentration–time curve measured 213 hours, using the trapezoidal rule), Tmax (time to observe maximum drug concentration), Kel (apparent first order terminal rate constant calculated from a semi-log plot of the plasma concentration versus time curve, using the method of least square regression) and T1/2 (terminal half-life as determined by quotient 0.693/Kel). Pharmacokinetic details were shown in Table 4. The mean concentration versus time profile of darifenacin in rat plasma is shown in Fig.6.

Table 3: Stability of the Analyte in human plasma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spiked plasma concentration (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Room temperature or Benchtop stability 42 h</th>
<th>Processed sample Auto sampler stability 50 h</th>
<th>Long term Stability 92 days</th>
<th>Freeze and thaw stability Cycle 3 (48 h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration measured (n=6) (ng/mL) (mean ± S.D)</td>
<td>Concentration measured (n=6) (ng/mL) (mean ± S.D)</td>
<td>Concentration measured (n=6) (ng/mL) (mean ± S.D)</td>
<td>Concentration measured (n=6) (ng/mL) (mean ± S.D)</td>
<td>Concentration measured (n=6) (ng/mL) (mean ± S.D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>154.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>147.2 ± 7.4</td>
<td>153.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>138.6 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7000.0</td>
<td>7463.7 ± 116.5</td>
<td>6390.9 ± 114.6</td>
<td>7248.1 ± 15.4</td>
<td>7548.3 ± 91.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig: 6. Mean plasma concentrations versus time graph of Atavaqueone after intravenous administration of 4.5 mg/200g in male rat
Table 4. Mean pharmacokinetic parameters of Atovaquone in rat plasma after intravenous administration of 4.5mg/200g male rat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic Parameter</th>
<th>Atovaquone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$AUC_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ (ng · h/ml)</td>
<td>304419.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{max}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>2647.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$AUC_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ (ng · h/ml)</td>
<td>379213.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ke$ (h$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.00750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{max}$ (h)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Thalf$ (h)</td>
<td>92.42385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$AUC_{0\rightarrow\infty}$: area under the curve extrapolated to infinity; $AUC_{0\rightarrow t}$: area under the curve up to the last sampling time; $C_{max}$: the maximum plasma concentration; $Ke$: the apparent elimination rate constant.

**CONCLUSION**

The Bio analytical method was developed and validated for the quantitative determination of Atavaquone in rat plasma samples by UPLC. This method demonstrated that drug and internal standard were eluted within 6 minutes with a total runtime of 8 minutes. This method demonstrates most simple, sensitive, selectivity, rugged and reproducible. The developed method was successfully applied in the pharmacokinetic study to evaluate plasma concentration of atovaquone in healthy male rats.
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