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# Absorption spectral studies of $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) with Acetyl acetone and their complexes with pyridine, bromopyridine and chloropyridine 
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#### Abstract

In the present investigation, the absorption difference and comparative absorption spectroscopic studies involving $4 f-4 f$ transitions of $\operatorname{Pr}(I I I)$ with acetyl acetone $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(a c a c)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ and their adducts with structurally related ligands like pyridine (py), bromopyridine (Brpy) and chloropyridine (Clpy) complexes in different solvents like $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and DMF have been carried out. The intra $4 f-4 f$ transition spectra yield sharp bands which were analyzed individually by Gaussian curve analysis, the energy interaction parameters $\left(F_{K}, E^{K}\right)$, Lande spin orbit coupling $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio $(\beta)$, bonding parameter $\left(b^{1 / 2}\right)$, percent covalency ( $\delta$ ) were calculated and intensity parameters have been computed on computer using partial multiple regression analysis. The difference in the energy parameters with respect to ligands atoms and with various solvents reveals that the chemical environment around the $\operatorname{Pr}(I I I)$ ion has great influence on $4 f-4 f$ transition and any change in the environment results in modification of the absorption spectra.
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## INTRODUCTION

Analysis of intra $4 f-4 f$ transition has been used successfully in elucidating the structure of lanthanide complexes with ligands [1]. The use of lanthanides as absorption spectral probe in several biochemical reactions involving $\mathrm{Ca}^{+2}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{+2}$ has open up a new dimension for the fast developing field of optical spectroscopy. During the past three decades, new fields of applications have been found for the lanthanide coordination compounds in solutions Viz., in constructions of liquid laser in studying transuanic element, as unique spectral models in examining structure and function $[2,3,4]$.


#### Abstract

S. N. Misra et al [4-6] studies the solid complexes of $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{III})$ from mononucleotide and mononucleosides were dissolved in organic solvents and their spectra were recorded. The results suggest that these complexes, when dissolved in the solvents, retained their nonacoordinationated stereochemistry possessed in their crystalline state. The silent changes in the oscillator strength of $4 f-4 f$ bands as well as the changes in magnitude of Judd Ofelt intensity parameters determined from observed $4 f-4 f$ transitions indicates minor coordination changes around $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Nd}($ III $)$ as a result of slight differences in binding capabilities of these structurally related ligands. This change depends on the nature of solvents mixture and varying affinities of ligands.


In the present study, we have prepared the $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ complexes and their adducts with structurally related nitrogen donor ligands like pyridine, bromopyridine and chloropyridine their absorption spectra of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ have been interpreted in terms of various parameters namely SalterCondon ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ ), Lande spin orbit coupling ( $\xi_{4 \mathrm{f}}$ ), Racah $\left(\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{K}}\right.$ ), nephelauxetic ratio ( $\beta$ ), bonding parameter ( $\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}$ ) and percent covalency ( $\delta$ ) were calculated and intensity parameters have been computed on computer using partial multiple regression analysis, which gives information about the nature of complexation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

$\operatorname{Pr}($ III ) chloride heptahydrate of $99.9 \%$ purity from M/s Indian Rare Earths Ltd., acetyl acetone of AR grade from Qualigens, nitrogen donor ligands viz., pyridine (py), bromopyridine (Brpy) and chloropyridine (Clpy) were from Sisco Chemical Laboratory. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents used for recording the spectra. They were of AR grade from E. Merck. They are double distilled before use. The elemental analysis was carried out on Carlo-Erba Strumentatzione Strada Rivoltand 200 9D Rodono Italy, at CSMCRI Bhavnagar. Pr(III) contents were determined gravimetrically as Oxinate using 8 -hydroxyquinoline. All the spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer Lambda-2 UV-Visible spectrophotometer in the range $380-620 \mathrm{~nm}$, in the concentration of $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes in $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$.

## Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$

$\operatorname{Pr}($ III ) chloride heptahydrate 3.734 gm was dissolved in water, the methanolic solution of acetyl acetone 6.79 gm was added very slowly with constant stirring with resulted in isolation of yellow crystalline solid. After neutralizing the contents with ammonia the product was filtered and washed thoroughly with methanol and was purified by recrystallization with methanol.

## Synthesis of $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\text { acac })_{3}(\mathbf{p y})_{2}\right]$

The $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] of 4.72 gm added into methanolic solution of 1.58 gm of pyridine and put it for constant stirring. Yield yellow precipitated the amount of precipitated increased tremendously on addition of ammonia solution drop wise. The yellow micro crystalline solid obtained by purified by recrystallization form methanol, the bromopyridine (Brpy) and chloropyridine (Clpy) complexes have also been synthesized by similar procedure. These adducts have been synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis and molecular weight determination, the elemental analysis and molecular weight determination carried out at CSMCRI, Bhavnagar. Molecular weight and Analytical data are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Metal $\operatorname{Pr}$ (III) was estimated first by decomposing the chelate by concentrated nitric acid and
evaporating it to dryness. The dried mass was extracted with dilute HCl and the metal was precipitated as Oxinate by using 8 -hydroxy quinoline method.

The Coulombic and magnetic interaction between $4 f^{n}$ electrons leads to energy level of $4 f^{n}$ configuration and these interaction can be expressed in terms of the electronic repulsion parameters Salter-Condon ( $\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{4}, \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ ), G. Racah $[7,8]\left(\mathrm{E}^{1}, \mathrm{E}^{2}, \mathrm{E}^{3}\right)$ and spin orbit interaction parameter, Lande spin orbit coupling $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$ as a first approximation. The energy $E_{j}$ of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ level is given by the following equation,

$$
\mathbf{E}_{j}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_{4 \mathrm{f}}\right)=\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{0 j}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathbf{0}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{4 \mathrm{f}}\right)+\sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{2 , 4 , 6}}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{j}} / \delta \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \Delta \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{k}}+\left(\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{j}} / \delta \xi_{4 \mathrm{f}}\right) \Delta \xi_{4 \mathrm{f}}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{oj}}$ is the Zero order energy of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ level is given by Wong [9, 10]. The values of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{oj}}$, partial derivative $\left(\delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} / \delta \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ and $\left(\delta \mathrm{E}_{j} / \delta \xi_{4 f}\right)$, for different levels were known. The nephelauxetic effects measures the change in $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ with respect to free ion and expressed by nephelauxetic ratio $(\beta)$, which is defined as,

$$
\beta=F_{k}^{c} / F_{k}^{f}
$$

Where, c and f referred to complex and free ion. The amount of mixing of $4 f$ orbital and ligand orbital can be measured by the bonding parameter ( $\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}$ ) which is given by [11-15],

$$
b^{1 / 2}=[1-\beta / 2]^{1 / 2}
$$

S. P. Sinha $[16,17]$ introduced another parameter, percentage covalency parameter $(\delta)$ as,

$$
\delta=[1-\beta / \beta] \times 100
$$

The experimental value of oscillator strength ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{obs}}$ ) of absorption bands were calculated by performing Gaussian curve analysis using the following relationship,

$$
P_{o b s}=4.60 \times 10^{-9} \epsilon_{n n}(\bar{v})(d \bar{v})
$$

Where $\epsilon_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the molar extinction coefficient corresponding to energy $(\overline{\mathrm{v}})$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The figure 1 shows comparative absorption spectra of $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes in methanol. There is marginal red shift of $4 f-4 f$ transition bonds in $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ the enhancement is more in case chloropyridine (Clpy) indicating a better ligand than bromopyridine (Brpy) when recorded in methanol. The intensity of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}>{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ [18].


Fig. 1 Comparative absorption spectra of 1) $\left.\left.\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{a c a c})_{3}(\mathbf{p y})_{2}\right] 2\right)\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{a c a c})_{3}(\operatorname{Brpy})_{2}\right], 3\right)\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\operatorname{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ and 4] $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(\text { acac })_{3}(\mathbf{C l p y})_{2}\right]$ complexes in methanol.

Table 1 shows the observed and calculated values of molecular weight of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes. This indicates that the observed and calculated values of molecular weight are nearly same; it means that the complexation is proper.

Table 1 shows the observed and calculated values of molecular weight of $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes.

| Compound | Cal. MW | Obs. MW |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 477.26 | 474.18 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 601.51 | 599.98 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Brpy})_{2}$ | 756.60 | 753.15 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Clpy})_{2}$ | 667.97 | 665.19 |

Table 2 shows the observed and calculated values of analytical data whereas Table 3 shows experimental and computed values of $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{DMF}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents. The r.m.s. deviation $\sigma$ are varies from 107.09 to 173.73 showing that accuration of the various energy interaction parameters.

Table 2 shows the observed and calculated values of analytical data $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes.

| Compound | \% metal |  | \%Carbon |  | \% Hydrogen |  | \% Nitrogen |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 29.52 | 29.68 | 36.71 | 37.80 | 4.88 | 5.05 | - | - |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Py})_{2}$ | 22.48 | 2348 | 49.66 | 50.11 | 5.44 | 5.60 | 4.51 | 4.60 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Brpy})_{2}$ | 18.55 | 18.62 | 39.60 | 39.60 | 4.44 | 4.25 | 2.88 | 2.16 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Clpy})_{2}$ | 21.11 | 21.21 | 44.39 | 44.93 | 4.80 | 4.79 | 4.01 | 4.11 |

Table 4 shows energy interaction parameters Slator Condon ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ), Lande spin orbit interaction $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio $(\beta)$, bonding parameters ( $\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}$ ) and covalency parameter ( $\delta$ ) for $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents. It shows that the values of nephelauxetic effect $(\beta)$ in $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes were vary from 0.9421 to 0.9470 which is less than unity. The value of bonding parameters ( $\mathrm{b}^{1 / 2}$ ) were varies from 0.1662 to 0.1689 and which is positive indicate covalent bonding between $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{III})$ and ligand.

Table 3 Observed and calculated energies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{IIII})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{O H}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents

| Complexes and Solvents | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ |  | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ |  | 大 r.m.s. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal | Obs | Cal |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22489 | 22424 | 21311 | 21215 | 20736 | 20660 | 16893 | 17117 | 131.30 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 22536 | 22375 | 21361 | 21225 | 20772 | 20770 | 16937 | 17111 | 136.90 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Brpy})_{2}$ | 22537 | 22407 | 21357 | 21237 | 20778 | 20768 | 16937 | 17120 | 127.09 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Clpy})_{2}$ | 22508 | 22390 | 21316 | 21176 | 21028 | 20669 | 16837 | 17091 | 137.38 |
| DMF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22432 | 22557 | 21254 | 21128 | 20690 | 20690 | 16836 | 17107 | 173.73 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 22524 | 22381 | 21350 | 21224 | 20756 | 20752 | 16930 | 17108 | 129.80 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Brpy})_{2}$ | 22504 | 22316 | 21328 | 21173 | 20744 | 20737 | 16894 | 17071 | 149.50 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Clpy})_{2}$ | 22498 | 22328 | 21318 | 21181 | 20740 | 20738 | 16882 | 17076 | 141.36 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 22914 | 22392 | 21363 | 21261 | 20776 | 20774 | 16932 | 17118 | 128.18 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 22531 | 22390 | 21361 | 21238 | 20778 | 20736 | 16946 | 17119 | 130.60 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Brpy})_{2}$ | 22528 | 22375 | 21351 | 21122 | 20770 | 20770 | 16917 | 17001 | 109.70 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Clpy})_{2}$ | 22522 | 22370 | 21354 | 21232 | 20767 | 20755 | 16974 | 17156 | 107.81 |

Table 4 Computed values of energy interaction parameters Slator Condon ( $F_{k}$ ), Lande spin orbit coupling $\left(\xi_{4 f}\right)$, nephelauxetic ratio ( $\beta$ ), bonding parameters $\left(\mathbf{b}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and covalency parameter ( $\delta$ ) for $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{IIII})$ complexes in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, DMF and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solvents.

| Complexes and Solvent | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | F4 | $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ | $\left(\xi_{47}\right)$ | $\beta$ | ( ${ }^{1 / 2}$ ) | ( $\delta$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 308.8561 | 42.6863 | 4.6686 | 719.6470 | 0.9445 | 0.1668 | 5.8904 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 308.8670 | 42.6278 | 4.6637 | 719.8653 | 0.9445 | 0.1666 | 5.8710 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Brpy})_{2}$ | 308.8670 | 42.8998 | 4.6656 | 721.1446 | 0.9448 | 0.1668 | 5.8220 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Clpy})_{2}$ | 308.8800 | 42.4890 | 4.6660 | 720.1447 | 0.9446 | 0.1662 | 5.8220 |
| DMF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 308.1064 | 42.5441 | 4.6534 | 719.3510 | 0.9421 | 0.1688 | 6.0329 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 308.1108 | 42.5452 | 4.6653 | 778.9200 | 0.9430 | 0.1689 | 6.0495 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Brpy})_{2}$ | 308.2128 | 42.5577 | 4.6654 | 718.9476 | 0.9430 | 0.1689 | 6.0494 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Clpy})_{2}$ | 308.1735 | 42.5392 | 4.6519 | 719.2825 | 0.9431 | 0.1688 | 6.0447 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ | 308.1788 | 42.5304 | 4.6500 | 720.0623 | 0.9431 | 0.1666 | 5.9530 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{py})_{2}$ | 308.2608 | 42.5404 | 4.6530 | 720.9980 | 0.9430 | 0.1667 | 5.8818 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Brpy})_{2}$ | 308.3394 | 42.5654 | 4.6652 | 721.3840 | 0.9470 | 0.1662 | 5.8818 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}(\mathrm{Clpy})_{2}$ | 308.2880 | 42.4206 | 4.6400 | 721.3334 | 0.9430 | 0.1684 | 6.0192 |

S. N. Misra et al [19-21] observed decrease in the values of $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ and $\xi_{4 \mathrm{f}}$ parameters as compared to corresponding parameters of aqueous ion. They also observed that $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes with $\beta$-diketone and donor ligand pyridine(py), bromopyridine(Brpy) and chloropyridine(Clpy). Their ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ transitions are not hypersensitive in orthodox sense, yet these high intensification and wide variation of oscillator strength.

$$
{ }^{3} \mathbf{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{2}, \quad{ }^{3} \mathbf{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{1},{ }^{3} \mathbf{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}} \quad \text { and }{ }^{3} \mathbf{H}_{4} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathbf{D}_{2} .
$$

All these four transitions are showing substantial variation of oscillator strength which conform the ligand mediated pseudohypersensitivity of these pseudohypersensitive transitions. Karaker shows that the shape, energy and oscillator strength of hypersensitive and pseudohypersensitive transition can be correlated with coordination number [22,23].

## CONCLUSION

The results of this study reveals that the $\operatorname{Pr}($ III $)$ complexes with acetyl acetone and their adducts with nitrogen donor ligands like pyridine (py), bromopyridine (Brpy) and chloropyridine (Clpy) complexes creates high degree of intensification to different pseudohypersensitive transitions in different immediate coordination environment. It is quite apparent the stereochemistry possible for octacoordination and are interconvertible. The change in the stereochemistry could change the distance between lanthanide and ligands. Hence, this could change the extent of interactions between metal and ligands.
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