Available online at www.scholarsresearchlibrary.com



Scholars Research Library

Der Pharmacia Lettre, 2021, 13 (3):08-13 (http://scholarsresearchlibrary.com/archive.html)



Characterization Study of Cellulose (CEL)+Plasticizer PEG Added LDPE Biocomposite

Amit L. Gadre¹, Ganesh R.Yerawar^{2*}, Manish C. Golchha¹, Vijaya Sangawar²

¹Department of Physics, A. D. Mahavidyalaya Bharsingi, Nagpur (M.S.) India

²Department of Physics, Arts, Commerce and Science College, Arvi (M.S.) India

*Corresponding author: Ganesh R.Yerawar, Department of Physics, Arts, Commerce and Science College, Arvi, Maharashtra, India, E-mail: yerawarganesh2883@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The development of society and increasing consumption of plastics in the world has been a subject of great concern and special attention by the scientific community. The aim of this research paper to synthesize and characterize Cellulose + PEG added LDPE biocomposite thin film buried in natural compostable soil environment for the period up to 180 days. The Cellulose (CEL) added 7 wt. % PEG/LDPE biocomposite thin films having 10 wt. % of CEL were characterized by weight loss and weight loss percent, UTS and PEB measurements, SEM and XRD before and after degradation in order to study biodegradation of CEL/PEG/LDPE thin film samples under natural environment. The LDPE+7% PEG+10% CEL biocomposite thin films after soil burial of 90, 120, 180 days are found to be degraded and makes an eco- friendly and degradable material in natural environment.

Keywords: Biodegradation, LDPE+PEG+CEL, Soil burial, XRD, SEM, UTS, PEB

INTRODUCTION

Plastics have been an integral part of our lives for several decades in the form of convenient and useful commodity items. Plastic products are usually inert, chemically stable, resistant to corrosion, water-proof, durable and light-weight, ironically, the same features which make them ideal as a raw material for such a wide range of products are also responsible for the environmental problems cited by many environmentalists. Plastics are synthetic, semi synthetic and natural. Environmental pollution by synthetic polymers, such as waste plastics has been recognized as major problem. In view of this, energetic, chemical and biological polymer degrading techniques have been studied extensively during last three decades [1]. Usage of certain microorganisms and enzymes to degrade polymers are classified as the biodegradation method of polymer. The biodegradability of plastics is depends on the chemical structure of the material and on the constitution of the final product, not just on the raw materials used for this production. The degradation of conventional plastics such as polyethylene, polypropylene, poly (vinyl chloride) and poly (ethylene terphthalate) by the action of natural herbs polymers such as starch[2], cellulose, lignin, chitin[3] is a significant way to accelerate polymer biodegradation. Microorganism breaks the polymeric chain

and consumes materials through aerobic and anaerobic process. Research is now going towards in replacing some or all kind of synthetic polymers such as PE, to become biodegradable materials due to their pollution in environment.

LDPE is one of the most widely used packaging plastic, is the worst offender, being highly resistance to degradation [4]. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is non-hazardous and biocompatible additive to support degradation. During degradation, the PEG molecules are reduced by one glycol unit at a time after each oxidation cycle and Cellulose (CEL) is organic material likely consumed by microorganisms which enhances the rate of degradation in compostable soil environment. Thus, the aim of the present investigation to study the biodegradation of CEL+PEG added LDPE composite thin film in compostable soil (Soil and compost is in 1:1 proportion) burial natural environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Commercial grade LDPE with density 0.924 g/cm3 at 23^oC, melt flow index 4 gm/10 min; melting range 105-109^oC was supplied from Nutan Gujarat Industrial Estate, Vadodara (India). Xylene as solvents of AR Grade and PEG with average molecular weights 3500-4000, freezing point 53-50^oC and having pH in between 4.5 to 7.5 is used as provided without further purification. Cellulose powder with pH in between 5.0 to 7.0 obtained from Loba Chemicals, Mumbai. The compostable soil (1:1 proportion) has pH 8.43 before and pH 8.34 after 180 days.

Sample preparation

The Cellulose+PEG added LDPE composite thin films were synthesized by solution evaporation technique [5]. Concentration of Cellulose (10 wt. %) and PEG (7 wt. %) in LDPE and the sample is coded as LP7P10C before degradation and the same sample after 90 (LP7P10C9), 120 (LP7P10C12) and 180 (LP7P100C) 18 days of degradation respectively. The thickness of the film is in range of 15-30 µm. A German make microscope supplied by Paul Waechter, Model No. 641640 was used for thickness measurement [6-10].

Soil burial technique

A soil burial technique is carried out in natural environment to degrade the sample. For this, a thin rectangular sheet of sample (about 2.5 cm \times 12 cm) of 15-30 µm thickness is buried at the depth of 5 cm in compostable soil having pH 8.43 before and pH 8.34 after 180 days. The compostable soil having pH 8.43 (with N=588.0, P=27.06, K=249.09) before burial of samples and pH 8.34 (with N=280.0, P=30.38, K=192.06) after 180 days. The moisture content was maintained by adding water in soil after regular interval. The samples were removed from soil after 90,120 and 180 days and washed with distilled water and then acetone bath and dried at room temperature. The samples were characterized by weight loss and weight loss percentage, UTS and PEB measurements, SEM and XRD.

Mechanical testing

Mechanical properties of samples LP7P10C0, LP7P10C9, LP7P10C12 and LP7P10C18 (about 2.5 cm \times 12 cm) having 15-30 μ m thickness were carried out using an ASTM D 882 Universal Testing Machine(UTM), Nova Surface-Care Centre Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai. Ultimate Tensile Strength (UTS) and Percentage Elongation at Break (PEB) before and after degradation were measured. Tensile strength represents the capacity to take load by film and percentage elongation at break is its ability to stretch. Mechanical behaviour of polymer affected by many factors like molecular weight, molecular orientation, branching, crystallinity and density, processing conditions and processing techniques and also the thickness of film.

RESULTS

Weight loss of all CEL(10 wt. %)+PEG(7 wt. %) added LDPE thin film samples determined from the weight measurement before and after degradation for particular time period and carried out on K-Roy Monopan Balance having accuracy+0.1 µgm and are

enclosed in Table 1.

Sample Code (days)	Weight (mg)	Weight Loss %
LP7P10C0 (0 day)	1.84	0
LP7P10C9 (90 days)	1.57	14.67
LP7P10C12 (120		
days)	1.59	13.59
LP7P10C18 (180		
days)	1.55	15.76

Table 1 show the weight of thin film of LP7P10C0 was 1.840 mg before (0 day) burial in compostable soil. But after 90, 120 and 180 days of compostable soil burial, the weight of thin film sample decreases and it becomes 1.570 mg for (LP7P10C9), 1.590 mg for (LP7P10C12) and 1.550 mg for (LP7P10C18) samples. In terms of weight loss percent it becomes 14.67 %, 13.59 % and 15.76 % for 90, 120 and 180 days of degradation respectively. Thus weight loss percent was found to increase after 90 and 180 days but slight weight loss after 120 days. The growth of microorganisms within the polymer leads to an increase in weight where as a loss of polymer integrity leads to a weight loss. The weight loss is proportional to surface and biodegradation initiated at the surface of the polymer. Many researchers adopted this method for the evaluation of degradation [11-15].



Figure 1: UTS and PEB values for LP7P10C0, LP7P10C9, LP7P10C12 and LP7P10C18 before and after degradation.

Tensile strength and percentage of elongation break

Measurement of mechanical properties such as Ultimate Tensile Strength (UTS) and Percentage Elongation Break (PEB) of samples LP7P10C0, LP710CP9, LP7P10C12 and LP7P10C18 shown in Bar Graph 1 were carried out using an ASTM D 882 Universal Testing Machine (UTM), Nova Surface-Care Centre Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai.

Figure 1 shows that ultimate tensile strength of LP7P10C0 (0 days) sample found to be 2.31 MPa and percentage elongation at break value found to be 3.48 % before degradation. But when thin composite sample of 10 wt. % CEL added 7 wt. % PEG in LDPE enter into compostable soil environment, its UTS value gradually decreases with increases weathering duration and reduced to 40.26 % after 180 days of degradation. PEB value of 10 wt. % CEL added 7 wt. % PEG in LDPE composite sample found to be increase after 90 days of

degradation and then decreases after 120 and 180 days of degradation and reduced to 74.42 % of before weathering.

X-ray diffraction (XRD)

X-ray diffraction (XRD) data of all thin film samples were recorded on Bruker AXS D8 Advance, STIC Cochin University, Cochin, Kerala (India).



Figure 2: XRD patterns for LP7P10C0, LP7P10C9, LP7P10C12 and LP7P10C18 samples.

Figure 2 represents the XRD patterns for 10 wt. % CEL/7 wt. % PEG/LDPE (LP7P10C0, LP7P10C9, LP7P10C12 and LP7P10C18 before and after degradation respectively). XRD pattern of LP7P10C0 (0 day) sample shows first prominent peaks at 20=22.030 and second small peak at 20=24.280 corresponding to d-spacing of 4.03 A0 and 3.66 A0 respectively. But when 10 wt. % CEL/PEG/LDPE samples entered in compostable soil environment for 90 (LP7P10C9) , 120 (LP7P10C12) and 180 (LP7P10C18) days, after degradation it is observed that XRD peak shifts to higher 20 values for all degraded samples and corresponding d-spacing values decreases with increasing days of degradation. After weathering of 10 wt. % CEL/7 wt. % PEG/LDPE degraded samples it is observed that, intensity of XRD peak slight increases after 90 and 180 days of degradation and decreases after 120 days of degradation. Decrement in d-spacing and intensity of XRD peak with weathering duration in compostable soil decreases crystallinity and support biodegradation of CEL/PEG/LDPE samples. Our findings are in good agreement with many researchers [16-20].

DISCUSSION

Weight loss and weight loss percent study found that weight of 10 wt. % CEL+7 wt. % PEG added LDPE samples decreases with increasing days of weathering duration in compostable soil and weight loss percent was found to increase after 90 and 180 days but slight weight loss after 120 days. Here the weight loss is proportional to surface and biodegradation initiated at the surface of the polymer. Mechanical properties result shows that ultimate tensile strength (UTS) value gradually decreases with increases weathering

duration and reduced to 40.26 % after 180 days of degradation. PEB value of 10 wt. % CEL added 7 wt. % PEG in LDPE composite sample found to be increase after 90 days of degradation and then decreases after 120 and 180 days of degradation and reduced to 74.42 % of before weathering sample. Chain scission and cross linking reaction in polymer are the direct cause of decrement in tensile strength. The percentage of elongation break decreases gradually with increasing degradation duration. SEM results indicate that shape of circles or pores of irregular shape and size are observed on the surface of thin film increases with burial duration. These pores created by micro-organisms present in compostable soil. Hence after 180 days of compostable soil degradation, SEM of LP7P10C18 shows bigger pores of irregular shape and sizes as a result of biological degradation. These results indicate that, CEL which is loosely bounded with PEG/LDPE matrix was first attacked by the microorganisms such as fungi and bacteria due to moisture absorption and get hydrolyzed. This result supports mechanical property results. XRD results shows that decrease in crystallinity of 10 wt. % CEL+7 wt. % PEG/LDPE thin film samples with increase in weathering days in compostable soil indicates increase in amorphous phase of thin film sample which suggest that degradation of CEL/PEG/LDPE samples increases with weathering duration. of postmenopausal women.

CONCLUSION

The Weight loss, UTS, PEB, SEM and XRD study of LDPE+7%PEG+10% CEL degraded (for 0, 90, 120, 180 days) sample revealed that addition of Cellulose (CEL) along with PEG affect nature and structure of LDPE and enhances biodegradation with days of degradation.

REFERENCES

[1]. Baker, F.C., Lampio, L., Saaresranta, T., et al., Sleep and sleep disorders in the menopausal transition. Sleep Med Clin, **2018**. 13(3):443-56.

[2]. Asltoghiri, M., Ghodsi, Z., The effects of Reflexology on sleep disorder in menopausal women. Procedia Soc Behav Sci, **2012**. 31:242-246.

[3]. Taavoni, S., Nazem, E., The Effect of lemon Balm on sleep disorder in menopausal women 60-50 years old. J Compl Med, **2013**. 2(4):344-54.

[4]. Taavoni, S., Ekbatani, N., Kashaniyan, M., et al., Menopause: effect of valerian on sleep quality in postmenopausal women: A randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. Randomized Controlled Trial, **2011**. 16(3):286-287.

[5]. Bixler, E.O., Papaliaga, M.N., Vgontzas, A.N., Women sleep objectively better than men and the sleep of young women is more resilient to external stressors: effects of age and menopause. J Sleep Res, **2009**. 18(2):221-228.

[6]. Fund, N., Green, A., Chodick, G., et al. The epidemiology of sleep disorders in Israel: results from a population-wide study. Sleep Medicine, **2020**. 67:120-127.

[7]. Tao M, Sun D, Shao H, Li C, Teng YJBJoM, Research B. Poor sleep in middle-aged women is not associated with menopause per se. Braz J Med Biol Res, **2016**. 49(1):e4718.

[8]. Han, K.S, Kim, L., Shim, I., Stress and sleep disorder. Exp Neurobiol, 2012. 21(4):141-50.

[9]. Venter, R., Role of sleep in performance and recovery of athletes: a review article. Phy Edu Rec, 2012. 34(1):167-184.

[10]. Crowley, K., Sleep and sleep disorders in older adults. Neuropsychol Rev, 2011. 21(1):41-53.

[11]. Polo, K.P., Sleep problems in midlife and beyond. Maturitas, 2011. 68(3):224-232.

[12]. Xu, Q., Lang, C.P., Examining the relationship between subjective sleep disturbance and menopause: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Menopause, **2014**. 21(12):1301-1318.

[13]. Ornat, L., Martínez, D.R., Chedraui, P., Assessment of subjective sleep disturbance and related factors during female midlife with the Jenkins Sleep Scale. Matutiras, **2014**. 77(4):344-350.

[14]. Sarris, J., Byrne, G.J., A systematic review of insomnia and complementary medicine. Sleep Med Rev, 2011. 15(2):99-106.

[15]. Cases, J., Ibarra, A., Feuillere, N., et al. Pilot trial of Melissa officinalis L. leaf extract in the treatment of volunteers suffering from mild-to-moderate anxiety disorders and sleep disturbances. Med J Nutrition Metab, **2011**. 4(3):211-218.

[16]. Lange, T., Dimitrov, S., Born, J. Effects of sleep and circadian rhythm on the human immune system. Ann N Y Acad Sci, **2010**. 1193(1):48-59.

[17]. Gooneratne, N.S., Complementary and alternative medicine for sleep disturbances in older adults. Clin Geriatr Med, **2008**. 24(1):121-38.

[18]. Haybar, H., Javid, A.Z., Haghighizadeh, M.H., et al. The effects of Melissa officinalis supplementation on depression, anxiety, stress, and sleep disorder in patients with chronic stable angina. Clin Nutr ESPEN, **2018**. 26:47-52.

[19]. Farrahi, J., Nakhaee, N., Sheibani, V., et al. Psychometric properties of the Persian version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index addendum for PTSD (PSQI-A). Sleep and Breathing, **2009.** 13(3):259-262.

[20]. Mohammadbeigi, A., Valizadeh, F., Saadati, M., et al. Sleep quality in medical students; the impact of over-use of mobile cell-phone and social networks. J Res Health Sci, **2016**. 16(1):46-50.