

Scholars Research Library

Annals of Biological Research, 2012, 3 (9):4264-4275 (http://scholarsresearchlibrary.com/archive.html)



Effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels on tuber filling rate and protein assimilation in early and late maturing potato

Ali Barghi, Ahmad Tobeh and Nasim Hassanzadeh

Department of Agronomy and Plant breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili. Ardabil, Iran

ABSTRACT

One of the very important determinate methods in order to better use of nitrogen fertilizers is considering the differences belonging to growth period length in early and late maturing varieties. Surly, two different groups of potato including early and late maturing varieties would have different needs from the aspect of the optimum use rate of nitrogen fertilizer aspect. This experiment carried out in 2004 crop year in agriculture research station of Ardabil city, stated in 10kilometer far from this city in the east (Alarug village). The factors included four levels of nitrogen fertilizer (0, 80,160, and 200kg net nitrogen per hectare) in main plots and two varieties of potato including Agria (late maturing) and Satina (early maturing) in secondary plots. The experiment was conducted as split plot with randomized complete block design with four replications. The results of variance analysis, demonstrated that the main effects of nitrogen and variety was significant in most of the measured traits such as tuber yield per plant. By increasing fertilizer use to 160kg net nitrogen per hectare, tuber yield increased significantly in Agria variety comparing to Satina, and the most tuber yield in final harvest of late maturing Agria variety with 160kg nitrogen per hectare was estimated about 39.43tons per hectare. By increasing nitrogen use to 200kg nitrogen per hectare, tuber yield decreased significantly in both varieties. Results demonstrated that early maturing Satina variety has more tuber and shoot nitrogen percentage and uptake value compared to late maturing Agria variety. Protein percentage in Satina variety and protein yield in Agria variety had the highest value. By increasing nitrogen fertilizer levels, we observed a significant increase in the traits including nitrogen percentage and uptake value of tuber and shoot and also protein percentage.

Key words: potato, nitrogen, yield, protein

INTRODUCTION

Potato is one of the main food stuffs in people's nutrition regime all over the world. Most of the potatois used directly or in secondary products. In many countries, potato has been used as a main source of providing energy for human during long years. Potato contains 17 percent carbohydrate, 1 percent solutions, 2 percent protein, 0.1 percent fat and 0.6 percent fiber. Potato is also sometimes used to produce alcohol (khajehpoor, 1996). All the produced potato isn't used forhuman nutrition, but some is used as livestock food, some as seed and some for producing starch. Using potato for producing starch is very common in Holland, Eastern Europe and Japan (Ankoma etal., 2003).

Nitrogen is necessary for growth and production and is one of the main components of proteins. When a potato plant grows in aberrant conditions, protein production decreases and nitrogen accumulates in plant in the forms except protein. Nitrate is one of these forms which its extra use in plant nutrition regime would result toxicity. Nitrate toxicity is due to forming met.Hemoglobin which causes erythrocytes to lose their ability to transport oxygen (Hernands, 2000). Tubers are transformed stems that form the main parts of nutrient reserves in potato plant. On the

tuber surface eyes are disturbed spirally. Each eye is consisting of two or three buds and several axillary buds, which are in the axil of primary leaves (scales). Terminal bud is in the middle of eyes, which is surrounded by two leaves and some leaflets. The number and depth of the eyes, also depth, color and form of tuber vary in different varieties and cultivars of potato.

Carter and Busma (1974) reported that there is a reversed relationship between plants shoot and tuber growth. For example the factors like early irrigation and nitrogen use which promote growth of the shoot, postpone tuber setting. Different nitrogen levels have different effects on the tuber. Number of tubers (Prosba, 1988), tuber color, tuber average weight (Cool, 1975), tuber size, even the internal compounds and dry and humid weight of tubers differ influenced by the amount of nitrogen fertilizer used among various experiments done (Berga and Kaizer, 1990).

Cleanhenz and Benit(1992) declared that, nitro sgen increases the yield by its effect on the number of large size tubers. Seed size effects vegetation vigour of young potato plants. By increasing seed tuber size, the yield increases (Martin, 1995). Decreasing number of tuber is due to decrease in number of stolon, getting enough nitrogen results in stolon number increase (Moller Hogen, 1993). An experiment demonstrated that top-dressing of nitrogen doesn't have a significant effect on number of tubers but increases tuber weight and subsequently the yield significantly (Bohrani and Hooshmandi, 1998). Beginning tubers growth, the growth of other plant organs decrease and tubers are the only meristemicregionswhere mineral and organic materials accumulate. Growth curve of potato tuber is a sigmoid shapecurve and it usually consists of a long linear phase(Heris, 1982). The reaction to day length is usually highly adjusted by impact of other factors, especially by the amount of fertilizer. High amounts of fertilizer, especially nitrogen fertilizer, flourishes the growth of plants shoot and delays tuber formation verymuch (Ghasim, 1382). Tuber is the part of potato plant which is considered more than other organs of plant, and in fact organizes economic yield of this crop. A larg number of studies have been conducted on the way nitrogen fertilizer affects the number, size, dry weight and humid weight of tubers. All of these studies have impressed on significant effect of nitrogen fertilizer on these traits. Because of different reactions of potato tubers to different levels of nitrogen fertilizer, an adequate fertilizer recommendation is very difficult. In other hand sensitivity of this issue increases by interference of varieties considering time of maturity(early or late maturing variety) and length of growth period. Jozel(1989) reported that using nitrogen fertilizer increases the weight of the late maturing varieties more than early maturing varieties, but if nitrogen amount exceeds the optimum range, humid weight of tubers decreases severely. This decrease though will not be significant in early maturing varieties. The dry weight of tubers is less affected by amount of used nitrogen. The number of tubers shows a significant positive relation with total dry weight(Sadeghzadeh, Hemayati, 1996).

During short day conditions, formation of tubers starts earlier, stolons remain shorter and the shoots smaller. In long day conditions, the formation of tubers starts later, stolons grow longer and the shoots more. In some varieties, during long day conditions, growth of tubers doesn't occur (SadeghzadehHemayati, 1996). Each variety has a unique critical day length. Since formation of tubers is also influenced by temperature, day length of European varieties changes less than critical day length of early maturing varieties (Biokma and Anderzag, 1990). Short day length and low temperature usually promote tuber formation. In average and low temperatures, the effect of day length is much more on tuber formation time and also its effect on late maturing varieties is more than early maturing varieties. In high temperatures high amounts of nitrogen use can result in late tuber formation which can decrease the yield very much (HashemiDezfuli et.al, 1998).

In some varieties with long tuber forming time, development and growth of tuber occurs in different stages of plants growth (Ankoma et.al, 2003). There is a correlation between number of stolons and number of tubers. In late maturing varieties that tuber formation period is long, growth and development of tuber occurs in different stages of growth. Most of tuber primordials remain in very small sizes and resorbed by the plant after a while, hence the number of tubers may reduce during the growth period (Zerast and Jozel, 1996). Underground nodes alter considering the environmental conditions and variety (Evans, 1963). In the other hand it is influenced by agronomic practices such as cultivation depth, ridging and earthing up (Biokma and Anderzag, 1990). The numbers of tubers season, average tuber size increases, too. In the beginning of the season, when the yield is low, average tuber size is small. The yield increases afterwards, but its increase isn't as much as average increase of tuber size. Biokma and Anderzag (1990) concluded that in the varieties with low yield, average tuber size is small, while it increases in high yield varieties. Using nitrogen has an important secondary effect and that is a delay in increase of tuber bulk. Different experiments demonstrate that average tuber weight in late maturing varieties is more than the early maturing. Although there are some reports that average tuber weight doesn't follow the time of maturity and mostly depends on amount of fertilizer used, genetic features, soil texture, amount of water used etc. (Gasoamy, 1995).

Studies of SadeghzadehHemayati (1996) demonstrated that in different levels of variety, average tuber size differed significantly in probability of 1 percent, except for the fifth sampling (127 days after sowing). In other word different varieties differed significantly from each other in average tuber weight. This difference was so much that it was seen in the beginning of the growing period.

Foranze (1990) reported that the increase of tuber yield and tuber dry weight by increasing fertilizer level from 80 to 120 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare was significant and by less than this amount the increase of yield and tuber dry weight was insignificant. By increasing fertilizer level from zero to 100 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare, tuber yield and tuber dry weight increased 2000 and 420 kilograms per hectare, respectively. An experiment during three years on Diamont, Aola and Moren varieties demonstrated that the best amount of nitrogen fertilizer in order to dry matter increase is 80 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare. Using nitrogen fertilizer can increase dry matter to a limited extent (Maghrur, 1997). The more dry matter percentage, the less water evaporates. Almost all the factors affecting total dry matter reserved in tubers, influence the tuber yield, too. The ratio of total dry matter of tuber to total weight of tuber is called dry matter percentage of tuber (Jenkins and Nelson, 1992). If a definite factor increases total dry matter of tuber more than its total dry weight, dry matter percentage will increase. In the opposite condition, dry matter percentage will decrease. Extra amounts of nitrogen especially if causes harvest of crop before natural maturity, may result a decrease in the amount of dry matter, increasing amount of reduced carbohydrates and may increase protein and nitrate (Falahati, 1997). Tuber dry matter differs about 13.1-36.8 percent (average 24 percent) of tuber humid weight. In different late maturing and early maturing varieties, separately, the amount of nitrogen used, has less effect on tuber dry weight per m^2 and dry matter percentage of tubers and this difference isn't usually significant (Rajana at.al, 1987). SadeghzadehHemayati (1996) reported that the value of tuberdry weight had a significant positive relationship with total dry weight during the growing period. This trait has also a significant positive relationship with harvest index By experiments conducted on four varieties of potato with two levels of nitrogen fertilizerZerast and Jozel (1996) indicated that leaf area duration and vegetative growth increased by increasing nitrogen fertilizer used. According to the results of these experiments tuber dry weight and total dry weight increased in all varieties due to the amount of nitrogen used. So that the most values for these traits acquired with 120 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare which was the last level of nitrogen fertilizer (Sadeghzadehhemayati, 1996).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment carried out in 2004 crop year in agricultural research station of Ardabil, stated in 10kilometers far from Ardabil in the east(Alarug village). It was conducted as split plot with randomized complete block design.

- A. Is in main plot which includes: fertilizer with four levels: 0,80,160,200 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare
- B. Is in secondary plot that includes: variety including: Satina(early maturing) and Agria(late maturing).

By considering to soil propertises and according to the test which was done, in 0-30 centimeters depth of the soil, salinity of about 0.932 desyzimens/m, soil PH of 0.30, soil saturation percentage of 2sp, calcium carbonate of about 3.5 percent, organic carbon of 0.7 percent, total nitrogen of 0.07 percent, absorbable phosphorus of 11.33 ppm, absorbable potassium of 395 ppm, clay amount of 46.5 percent, silt amount of 27.5 percent, sand amount of 26 percent and clay soil texture was recorded. In order to measuring the traits, the sampling was done by destructive method with a regular timing of respectively 57, 70, 83, 94, 105and 116 days after sowing and a terminal recording was done, too.

The data recorded in each sampling was tested by MSTAT software for each trait. If the primary data had a normal distribution, the data that are acquired by the sampling were analyzed as split plot design using this software. The variance analyses and means comparison of main effects and interactions were done as split-split-plot for samples that were collected during the time (which were rising). In this experiment, the factors N and V are nitrogen fertilizer and variety respectively and the factor S is the time (number of sampling). Mean comparisons of main effects and interactions were done by Duncan's multiple range tests with probability of 5 percent.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tuber yield per plant

According to results of variance analysis the factors that were studied including variety and nitrogen fertilizer and also the interaction of these two factors were significant in probability of 1 percent. Mean comparison in probability of 5 percent demonstrated that in main effect of variety, Agria variety was in the superior group and its tuber yield with 981.69gram per plant, was more than yield of Satina variety and also it's significant. Different experiments done (Carjady and Gaus, 1989), (Hossein et.al, 1995) and (Mollergan, 1993), indicate a significant interaction between potato varieties in different levels of nitrogen and different traits, specially yield. The most tuber yield per

plant is acquired with 160kg net nitrogen per hectare that in addition to having the highest value, was in the first group(a) and had a significant difference with other treatments. These results were reported by Sing and Sing(1994) and they expressed that the yield in fertilizer level of 180kg net nitrogen per hectare is 28.4 percent more than 60kg net nitrogen per hectare. 80 and 200kg net nitrogen per hectare fertilizer level s were in the same group together and there was not a significant statistical difference between them. The interaction of variety initrogen indicated that the most tuber yield was acquired for Agria variety with 160kg net nitrogen per hectare which had a significant difference with other treatments. Furthermore increasing fertilizer level from zero to 160kg net nitrogen per hectare had a significant effect is resulted in both varieties. In variance analysis of this trait during the time, the factors including variety and tripartite interaction of variety Xnitrogen Xsampling was significant in probability of 5 percent, also nitrogen factor, nitrogen

Xvariety interaction, sampling, and nitrogen X sampling interaction were significant in probability of 1 percent, but

variety X sampling interaction showed no significant effect. Considering the mean comparison of the main effect of factors during the time, Agria variety showed significant difference and is in the superior group (by 552.60geram per plant). Furthermore, fertilizer levels of 80,160, and 200kg net nitrogen per hectare with significant difference compared to control were in the same and first group (a) together. But 160kg net nitrogen per hectare have had the most yield with 609.4 gram per plant and ultimately the most yield per hectare in final harvest was acquired in this fertilizer level.

		Tuber average weight	Mean Squares (MS)							
Variation sources	Degree of freedom		Tuber yield per plant	Number of tubers per plant	Number of tubers smaller than mm28	Number of tubers in the size of 28-55Mm	Number of tubers larger than mm 55			
Replication	3	80.120	9987.666	5.126	0.081	2.742	0.273			
Nitrogen fertilizer(N)	3	3373.105**	324136.398**	22.388**	1.324*	15.737*	14.218**			
Error	9	63.178	18402.634	2.529	0.215	2.403	0.709			
)V(Variety	1	637.156**	132168.683 **	1.488 ns	1.240**	8.090**	0.813ns			
V×interaction N	3	57.705*	59131.290 **	1.916ns	0.134**	0.382**	0.797ns			
Error	12	13.902	6814.050	1.662	0.014	0.064	0.498			
Coefficient of variation (%)	-	4.09	9.00	13.37	10.59	4.93	16.78			

Table1	Variance	analysis of	mornh	ological	traits of	shoot in	final	recording
Tablet	, v al lance	analy 515 01	morph	ulugical	ti alts of	shout m	mai	recorung

Ns, * and ** are insignificant and significant in probability of 5 and 1 percent, respectively

The control had the least effect on yield per plant and also per hectare which was significant. Considering the effect of nitrogen levels on the yield it can be concluded that increasing the amount of nitrogen causes an increase in the yield with a significant difference. But this yield increase occurs up to 80 kg net nitrogen per hectare fertilizer level and extraordinary use of nitrogen and in other word more than 80kg net nitrogen per hectare has no significant effect on increasing the yield per plant and the yield was almost the same. So increasing nitrogen fertilizer not only did not cause an increase in the yield but also results in a loss due to fertilizer buying, soil environment pollution and more nitrate accumulation. Studying the growth procedure(sampling) shows that tuber yield per plant increases significantly from the beginning of growing period and its highest value is acquired in last sampling(118days after sowing). The fourth and fifth samples were respectively in 94 and 105 days after sowing in the same group.

The mean comparisons of variety Xnitrogen interactions during the time demonstrates that in Agria variety, fertilizer levels of 160 and 80kg net nitrogen per hectare has had a significant positive effect on these traits and they are placed in the same group. So that, by increasing fertilizer level up to 160kg net nitrogen per hectare in Agria variety the yield increases but by further increase of fertilizer(200kg net nitrogen per hectare) the yield not only doesn't increase but also decreases and has had a significant negative effect on this trait. This might be due to extra vegetative growth, putting some leaves in the shade and also, coincidence with high temperatures and long summer days and reduction of tuber reserves(the leaves that are in the shade become the consumers of assimilates instead of collecting them in tubers). The procedure of nitrogen level variation indicates that 160kg net nitrogen per hectare in the last sampling(118days after sowing) has had a significant statistical positive effect on tuber yield and was in superior group with 931.5 grams per plant. From the beginning of growth period to the end, in all fertilizer levels, tube yield per plant increases. Also the procedure of variety variation indicates that by increasing the length of growth period from the beginning to the end, tuber yield per plant increases significantly in both varieties. So that both varieties Agria and Satina are placed in superior group in the last sampling (118 days after sowing) and has had a significant positive effect on this trait. But in Agriavariety fifth and sixth sampling (105 and 118 days after sowing) are placed in superior group.

Figour1.Difference procedure of number of tubers per plant in different nitrogen levels(a) and studied varieties (b) during the time



Figour2.Variation in average tuber numbers smaller than 28 millimeters in tested nitrogen fertilizer levels



Figour3.Variation in average tuber numbers of 28-55 millimeters in tested nitrogen fertilizer levels





Figour4.Variation in average number of tubers larger than 55 millimeters in tested nitrogen fertilizer levels

Figour5.Variation in average tuber yield per plant in tested traits during the time



Figour6.Variation of average tuber yield per plant during the time





(a) (b) Figour7.Variation procedure of tuber yield per plant in different nitrogen levels (a) and the studied varieties (b) during the time

Tuber dry weight

By the variance analyses during the time, the main factors including variety, nitrogen, sampling and interactions ofnitrogen×variety and nitrogen×sampling had a significant effect in probability of 1 percent on this trait. Also interaction of variety×sampling and tripartite interaction of variety×nitrogen×sampling has had a significant effect on this trait in probability of 5 percent. The mean comparisons of main effect indicated that in the main effect of variety, Agria late maturing variety with 115.3 gram per plant is in superior group compared to Satina variety and has a significant positive effect on this trait. About the main effect of nitrogen the levels of 80, 160 and 200 kilogram net nitrogen per hectare has the best effect on tuber dry weight per plant and has a significant difference with control and were placed in the same superior group. The highest value for this trait was acquired in 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare with 121.9 grams per plant and the lowest value belongs to the control (zero kilogram net nitrogen per hectare) with 78.44 grams per plant. Number of samplings demonstrates that from the beginning of growth period to its end, tuber dry weight per plant increased significantly so that its highest value was acquired in 118 days after sowing with 162.7 gram per plant. But the 94 and 105 days after sowing (the fourth and fifth sampling) are in the same group. Procedure of variation in nitrogen levels was 80 kilogram net nitrogen fertilizer per hectare from the beginning of growth period to the fifth sampling. After this period 160 kilogram net nitrogen per hectare preceded them but totally these two levels and the 200 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare all were in the same group according to the main effect of nitrogen. The highest tuber dry weight in final sampling was in 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare with the value of 192.8 grams per plant. The procedure of variation among the varieties in this trait indicated that Agria variety was better than Satina during growth period from its beginning to the end, and the highest value of this trait acquired for Agria variety and 118 days after sowing with 169.9 grams per plant but it was in the same group with 94 and 105 days after sowing. In both varieties from the beginning of growing period to the end, the value of this trait increased significantly.

Source of variation	Derme of free dom	Mean of squares					
Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Number of tubers per plant	Tuber yield per plant (gr)				
replication	3	13.527	4052.69				
Nitrogen (n)	3	171.607 **	562754.79**				
error	9	13.182	24100.83				
Variety (v)	1	95.89/ **	77966.60 *				
Interaction n×v	3	2.479ns	77451.23**				
error	12	2.772	11795.02				
Sampling (s)	5	763.638**	1923618.58**				
Interaction n×s	15	28.318**	36429.46**				
Interaction v×s	5	8.956ns	18029.72ns				
n×v×s	15	5.379ns	26796.38*				
error	120	6.668	12830.61				
Coefficient	of variation	23 49	21.27				

Ns, * and ** are insignificant and significant in probability of 5 and 1 percent, respectively

	Tested levels	Mean of traits				
	Tested levels	Number of tubers per plant	Tuber yield per plant			
variation	Agria	10.29 b	552.60a			
varieties	Satina	11.70 a	512.29b			
	control	10.52 b	372.9b			
Nitrogon fortilizor	80 kilograms	12.51 a	587.4a			
Nitrogen fertilizer	160 kilograms	12.43 a	609.4a			
	200 kilograms	8.52 c	560.2a			
	57 days	6.97 d	121.8e			
	70 days	7.36 d	360.8d			
	83 days	7.14 d	581.2c			
Sampling (days after sowing)	94 days	10.89 c	678.0b			
	105 days	14.83 b	683.7b			
	118 days	18.77 a	769.2a			

Table3. Mean comparison	of tested main effects or	n morphological traits of plan	ıt
-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------	----

The values with the same letters didn't show any significant effect in probability of 5 percent

$Table 4.\ Mean\ comparisons\ of\ variety \times nitrogen,\ nitrogen \times sampling,\ variety \times sampling\ interactions\ on\ studied\ traits$

Source of	Mean of traits						
Source of variation	Number of tubers per plant	Tuber yield per plant (gr)					
Va	ariety×nitrogen (kilograms per	hectare)					
control×Agria	9.60c	344.6 c					
control×Satina	11.43b	401.1c					
Agria×80	12.08ab	636.9a					
Satina×80	12.94a	537.8b					
Agria×160	11.81b	665.9a					
Satina×160	13.04a	552.8b					
Agria×200	7.65d	563.0b					
Satina×200	9.39c	557.5b					
	variety×sampling (days after s	owing)					
Agria × 57	6.63ef	125.1 f					
Agria× 70	6.19f	354.6 e					
Agria \times 83	6.94ef	609.1 cd					
Agria× 94	10.63d	694.0bc					
Agria× 105	14.06c	745.8ab					
Agria × 118	17.28b	787.0 a					
Satina \times 57	7.32ef	118.5 f					
Satina× 70	8.53 e	367.0 e					
Satina× 83	7.35ef	553.2 d					
Satina× 94	11.16d	662.0 c					
Satina \times 105	15.59bc	621.6 cd					
Satina× 118	20.25 a	751.4ab					
	Remain of table 4						
G 6 • /•	Mean o	f traits					
Source of variation							
Source of variation	Number of tubers per plant	Tuber yield per plant (gr)					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing)					
Nitrogen (kill control × 57	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1					
Source of variation Nitrogen (kil control × 57 control × 70	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k					
Nitrogen (kil control × 57 control × 70 control × 83	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij					
Nitrogen (kil control × 57 control × 70 control × 83 control × 94	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij					
Source of variationNitrogen (kicontrol $\times 57$ control $\times 70$ control $\times 83$ control $\times 94$ control $\times 105$ control $\times 118$	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 118 57×80 57×80	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 118 57 \times 80 70 \times 80 70 \times 80	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 118 57×80 70×80 83×80 83×80	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 118 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc					
Source of variation Nitrogen (kil control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 118 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 tixt 00	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc					
Source of variation Nitrogen (kil control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 118 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki) control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 83 94 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 source 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160 70×160	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.94ghi	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij					
Source of variation Nitrogen (kil control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 source 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160 70×160 83×160	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.94ghi 7.75ghi	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij 670.5 de					
Source of variation Nitrogen (kil control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 state 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160 70×160 94×160	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.94ghi 7.75ghi 12.81 de	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij 670.5 de 687.4cde					
Source of variation Nitrogen (kil control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 state 57 \times 80 70 \times 80 83 \times 80 94 \times 80 80 \times 105 118 \times 80 57 \times 160 70 \times 160 94 \times 160 105 \times 160	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.94ghi 7.75ghi 12.81 de 15.31 cd	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij 670.5 de 687.4cde 807bc					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 state 57 \times 80 70 \times 80 83 \times 80 94 \times 80 80 \times 105 118 \times 80 57 \times 160 70 \times 160 94 \times 160 105 \times 160 118 \times 160	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.94ghi 7.75ghi 12.81 de 15.31 cd 22.50 a	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij 670.5 de 687.4cde 807bc 931.2 a					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160 70×160 83×160 94×160 105×160 118×160 57×200	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.75ghi 12.81 de 15.31 cd 22.50 a 7.60ghi	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.61 410.5hij 670.5 de 687.4cde 807bc 931.2 a 138.7 1					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki) control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 118 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160 70×160 94×160 105×160 118×160 57×200 70×200	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.75ghi 12.81 de 15.31 cd 22.50 a 7.60ghi 5.13ij	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij 670.5 de 687.4cde 807bc 931.2 a 138.7 1 363.3jk					
Source of variation Nitrogen (kii control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 118 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160 70×160 94×160 105×160 118×160 57×200 70×200 83×200	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.75ghi 12.81 de 15.31 cd 22.50 a 7.60ghi 5.13ij 6.19hij	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij 670.5 de 687.4cde 807bc 931.2 a 138.7 1 363.3jk 642.8def					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki) control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160 70×160 94×160 105×160 118×160 57×200 70×200 83×200 94×200	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.94ghi 7.75ghi 12.81 de 15.31 cd 22.50 a 7.60ghi 5.13ij 6.19hij 9.25gh	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij 670.5 de 687.4cde 807bc 931.2 a 138.7 1 363.3jk 642.8def 697.8cde					
Source of variation Nitrogen (ki) control \times 57 control \times 70 control \times 83 control \times 94 control \times 105 control \times 104 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 105 control \times 118 57×80 70×80 83×80 94×80 80×105 118×80 57×160 70×160 94×160 105×160 118×160 57×200 70×200 94×200 105×200 105×200 105×200	Number of tubers per plant logram per hectare)× sampling 4.32 j 7.19g.j 6.88hij 9.25gh 17.06bc 18.38 b 7.69ghi 9.19gh 7.76ghi 12.25ef 16.94bc 21.25 a 8.25gh 7.75ghi 12.81 de 15.31 cd 22.50 a 7.60ghi 5.13ij 6.19hij 9.25gh 10.00fg	Tuber yield per plant (gr) (days after sowing) 61.69 1 268.3 k 398.6ij 526.2fgh 463.8hij 518.5ghi 137.3 1 401.0ij 612.8efg 800.6bc 807bc 765.5bcd 149.6 1 410.5hij 670.5 de 687.4cde 807bc 931.2 a 138.7 1 363.3jk 642.8def 697.8cde 657 de					

The values with the same letters didn't show any significant effect in probability of 5 percent

The interaction of variety×nitrogen during the time indicated that in Agria variety fertilizer levels of 160 and 80 kilogram net nitrogen per hectare had a significant positive effect on tuber dry weight with probability of 5 percent. So that these two levels was arranged in the same group. In Agria variety by increasing fertilizer level up to 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare the value of this trait increased, but above this level (200 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare) had a significant negative effect on tuber dry weight. In Satina variety by increasing fertilizer up to 80 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare the value of this trait increased significantly, but other levels of nitrogen (160 and 200 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare) are in the same group with 80 kilogram nitrogen per hectare. Foranz (1990) reported that the increase of tuber yield and tuber dry weight was significant by increasing fertilizer level from 80 to 120 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare and below this level the increase in yield and tuber dry weight was insignificant. By increasing fertilizer level from zero up to 100 kilogram net nitrogen per hectare tuber yield and tuber dry weight increased 2000 and 420 kilogram per hectare respectively. A three-year-long experiment on Diamont, Aola and Moren demonstrated that the best amount of nitrogen fertilizer used in order to increase dry matter is 80 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare. The use of nitrogen fertilizer can increase dry matter percentage to a limited extent (Maghrur, 1997).





Figour9. Variation in average tuber dry weight per plant during the time



Figour10. Variation procedure of tuber dry weight per plant in different fertilizer levels (a) and studied varieties (b)during the time



Tuber yield

The products that are supplied with high levels of nitrogen produce their maximum yield later than products that are faced with low nitrogen levels. Nitrogen promotes growth of shoots and although tuber forming in both high and low nitrogen levels may begin almost in the same time but in the beginning of growing period, there is less dry matter in tubers. It is proved that using high amounts of nitrogen and high intensity may cause the shoots to develop very much, delaying tuber formation, reducing the yield and forced harvest of raw tubers, and also may effect quality of tubers. Variance table represented that experimental factors and their interaction (variety×nitrogen) were significant in probability of 1 percent. The mean comparison of variety main effect shows the significant superiority of late maturing Agria compared to early maturing Satina. The studies on nitrogen levels stated that 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare fertilizer level is in superior group and has a significant difference with the control and the 80 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare, but were in the same group with 200 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare. Increasing fertilizer level up to 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare. In other word the best fertilizer level in order to acquire maximum yield, is 160 kilogram net nitrogen per hectare. Increasing fertilizer level more than this amount, not only doesn't have any significant effect on increasing the tuber yield (tone per hectare) but also caused:

- 1-Extraordinary growth of shoots
- 2-More nitrate accumulation in the tuber which is a harmful trait for human
- 3-Pollution of soil and water environment
- 4-Increasing the costs by using more nitrogen fertilizer that is more than plant requirement.

Fertilizer level of 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare with a value of 34.53 tons per hectare is arranged in first group (a). Mean comparison table of nitrogen×variety interaction represents that the most tuber yield by 39.43 tons per hectare belongs to the treatment Agria×160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare. This treatment has a significant difference with all the groups; also it is arranged in superior group. The least amount of this trait is acquired in control treatment of both varieties which are in the same group. In both varieties by increasing fertilizer level up to 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare (except Satina×160 treatment) the value of this trait increased and by increasing fertilizer level more than this level, the value for this trait decreased again. It shows superiority of Agria variety compared to Satina and Superiority of 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare fertilizer level compared to other nitrogen levels on this trait. By using no fertilizer the yield of Satina variety was more than Agria (their difference was about 3 tons per hectare) but by increasing fertilizer level, Agria variety preceded from Satina and in fertilizer level of 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare their difference was in the maximum amount. So it can be concluded that by considering the more growth period length of Agria variety, its need to fertilizer is more. It means Agria is more sensitive to nitrogen fertilizer. In low fertilizer level, Agria has feweryields and in 160 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare (optimum fertilizer level for this trait) it has the most tuber yield per hectare compared to Satina early maturing variety. But increasing fertilizer level above this amount decreased the yield in both varieties. Regarding this, Molergan (1990) reported that by increasing nitrogen levels in Bita variety, the vield increased whereas tuber yield increase for Danlo variety occurred in fertilizer levels more than 100 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare. Furthermore Piter and Arsenalt (1998) demonstrated that using nitrogen fertilizer increased total yield and economical yield of potato. In this experiment they concluded that by using 135 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare the most tuber yield is acquired and in this level, the percentage of large tubers is in maximum amount and also tuber average weight is the highest value compared to other levels of fertilizer.

Nitrogen and protein

Table of variance analysis (table5) represents that the main effect of variety and nitrogen was significant in probability of 1 percent on traits including shoot and tuber nitrogen percentage, nitrogen uptake in shoots, tuber and whole plant, protein percentage and protein yield. The effect of interaction of variety and nitrogen fertilizer was significant on traits including tuber nitrogen percentage, nitrogen uptake in tubers and whole plant, protein percentage and protein yield. The effect of interaction of variety and nitrogen fertilizer was significant on traits including tuber nitrogen percentage, nitrogen uptake in tubers and whole plant, protein percentage and protein yield. Mean comparison table (table6) represents that between two varieties, Satina variety is better than Agria because of its early maturity and its capacity for high amounts of nitrogen uptake, coinciding the traits including nitrogen percentage of tubers and shoots, nitrogen uptake in tubers, shoot and whole plant and protein percentage. Agria is in a better group than Satina only in the case of protein yield because of its high amount of dry matter production. In all the measured traits (tuber and shoot nitrogen percentage, nitrogen uptake in tubers, shoots and whole plant, protein percentage and protein yield) by increasing fertilizer level a significant difference is distinguished, so that in the treatment of using no fertilizer, all the traits are in the lowest level and in the use of 200 kilograms net nitrogen per hectare they are in the highest level.

Table 5. Analysis of variance of effect of N fertilizer level on N uptake and partitioning and protein content of potato cultivars

Sources of variation	df	Total shoot N content	Total tuber N content	Shoot absorbed N	Tuber absorbed N	Whole plant absorbed N	Tuber protein content (%)	Tuber protein yield
Replication	3	0.08*	0.29**	21.66*	27.01	3.71	11.64**	8451.5**
Cultivar (V)	1	0.24**	0.25**	160.52**	1150.6**	2170.7**	9.76**	25428.06**
Nitrogen (N)	3	1.29**	1.13**	975.07**	9898.78**	17059.4**	44.43**	33860.82**
$V \times N$	3	0.03	0.08*	1.75	76.36*	78.93**	3.21*	1070.4*
Experimental error	23	0.01	0.01	4.46	17.27	12.08	0.63	288.03
C.V. (%)		5.18%	4.77%	7.36%	5.55%	3.36%	4.77%	4.25%

Source of	Total shoot	Total tuber	Shoot	Tuber	Whole plant	Tuber protein	Tuber protein
variations	N content	N content	absorbed N	absorbed N	absorbed N	content (%)	yield
Cultivars							
Agria	2.47 b	2.57 b	26.10 b	67.84 b	93.95 b	16.10 b	431.18 a
Satina	2.67 a	2.78 a	31.28 a	81.69 a	112.97 a	17.38 a	366.08 b
			N fertilizer le	vels			
0 kg/ha	1.99 d	2.15 d	15.29 d	30.75 d	46.04 d	13.47 d	317.55 d
80 kg/ha	2.43 c	2.49 c	21.90 c	52.52 c	74.42 c	15.59 c	361.63 c
160 kg/ha	2.85 b	2.95 b	33.39 b	95.21 b	128.60 b	18.43 b	425.93 b
200 kg/ha	3.03 a	3.11 a	44.18 a	120.58 a	164.76 a	19.45 a	489.41 a

Table 6 Maana	aammaniaan of	offoot of	different	N foutilizon	lovels on	different	twoite of	nototo	aultinana
able 0. Micalis	comparison of	enect of	unitient	IN ICI UIIZCI	icveis on	unterent	11 and 01	potato	cuiuvai s

REFERENCES

[1] A HashemiDezfooli; A Koochaki; M BanayanAval.. Increasing crops yield. (Translation).JahadeDaneshgahi Editions of Mashhad.**1998**; pp.248.

[2] AK Karjadi; HA Gaos. BuletinPenelitinaHortikultura, **1989**, *18*, *1*, 63-66.

[3] BPA Peter; W Arsenault. Nitrogen fertilizer Rates snd In-Row Seed Piece Spacings for Several Potato Varieties. *Agricultural Resources Team and AAFC Charlottetown*, **1998**.

[4] BU Prosba. BiuletynInstytutuZiemniaka, 1996, 46, 73-81.

[5] C.S Cole. Potato Res, 1975, 18, 28-37.

[6] H P Beukema; D E Van der Zaag; Effect of different levels of nitrogen top-dressing on potato yield, Introduction to potato production, **1990**, *PudocWageningen*.

[7] J Furues;.NorskLandbrusking. 1990. 4, 3, 179-188.

[8] J Magrur.Studying the effect of different nitrogen fertilizer levels on tuber dry matter percent in potato varieties. Fifth Agronomy and Plant Breeding Congress of Iran, **1997**, pp.348.

[9] JN Carter; SM Bosma. Agron. J, 1974, 66, 263-266.

[10] J Zrust; M Juzl. RostlinnaVyroba. **1996**. 42, 7, 293-300.

[11] JD Ivins. Butterworths. 1963. PP 303-310.

[12] KM Rajanna; KT Shivasankar; KS Krishnappa. South Indian Horticulture, 1987, 35, 5, 347-355.

[13] L Beraga; K Caeser; *Potato Res*, **1990**, *33*, 257-267.

[14] MD Kleinhenz; MA Bennet. Am. Potato J,1992, 69, 117-129.

[15] M Falahati.Potato Science and Technology.(Translation).Barsad Editions.1997. 3-53 pp.

[16] M Ghasemi;**1382**.Studying compatibility of potato varieties for winter cropping in Mogan.Research report.Agriculture and Natural Resources Research Station of Ardabil (Mogan).

[17] M Hernandes. Nitrate: Toxic Agent list. 2000. CU toxic plant pages.

[18] M Juzl. ActaUniversitatisAgriculturae, FacultasAgronomica. 1989,37, 3-4, 115-122.

[19] M Khajepoor.Industrial plants production.JahadeDaneshgahi Editions of Isfahan Industrial University,1996, pp.201-225.

[20] M J Bohrani; HHooshmandi; 1998. Fifth Agronomy and Plant Breeding Congress of Iran. pp.533.

[21] MJ Hossain; M Elias; AHabib; M.A Akhtaruzzaman; M.S Islam. *Journal of the Indian potato Association*. **1995**. 22, 1-2, 52-55.

[22] P B Harris; 1982. The potato crop. Chapmanand Hall, John Wiley and Sons.

[23] PJ Mollerhagen. NorskLandbruksforskking, 1993, 7, 3-4, 279-296.

[24] PD Jenkins; DG Nelson. Potato Research. 1992, 35, 2, 127-132.

[25] RJ Martin. Proceedinge Annual Conference Agronomy Society of New Zealand, 1995, 25, 97-104.

[26] R K Goswami; Journal of Root Crops, 1995, 21, 2, 82-85.

[27] R O Ankumah; V Khan; K Mwamba; K Kpomblekou; The influence of source and timing of nitrogen fertilizers on yield and nitrogen use efficiency of four sweet potato cultivars. Department of Agricultural and Eenvironmental Sciences, **2003**, 305 Millbank Hall, Tuskegee, AL 36088, USA.<u>www. Sciencedirect.com.</u>

[28] S SadeghzadehHemayati.Effect of sowing pattern and plant intensity on growth and yield of three potato variety in Ardabil region.Msc thesis.**1996**. Agriculture college of Dezfool Azad Eslami University.

[29] TP Singh; RP Singh. Crop Research Hisar. 1994. 8, 3, 637-639.