Effect of using monensin with different source of carbohydrate on brown swiss steers performance
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ABSTRACT

Sixteen male Brown Swiss calve were utilize to study the effects of cereal source (barley and triticale) and monensin on fattening performance using a factorial experiment with four treatments. The number of replicates was four for each treatment and the experiment was last for 90 days. The experimental treatments were: 1) diet containing barley without monensin 2) diet containing barley and monensin 3) diet containing triticale without monensin and 4) diet containing triticale and monensin. The amount of monensin was set to be 30 mg kg⁻¹ DM. Calf weighing was undertaken monthly, dry matter intake was measured daily. Sampling from rumen fluid was carried out using esophagous tube, and blood sampling was undertaken at the end of each month. The results indicated that average daily gain, feed conversion ratio, final weight and daily feed intake were not significantly affected by cereal source as well as monensin. Blood glucose, BUN and rumen pH were not significantly affected by the experimental treatments. The magnitude of rumen ammonia nitrogen had a non-significant decrease due to using monensin in the diet.
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INTRODUCTION

Monensin, a monocarboxylic acid ionophore, is used in feedlot diets to alter ruminal fermentation for improved feed efficiency. Monensin decreases ruminal Proteolysis and thus increases the proportion of dietary protein escaping ruminal digestion [11 and 14].

Monensin increases In Vitro propionate production, without changing total VFA production [2].

An important characteristic of ruminant digestion is the fermentation of ingested carbohydrates to produce volatile fatty acids (VFA) in the rumen. A major factor determining the efficiency with which digestible feed energy is utilized by the ruminant is the relative rates of production of acetate, propionate, and butyrate, the three major VFA. In addition, relative rates of VFA production in the rumen affect the partitioning of nutrients between synthesis of body fat and synthesis of milk fat. The relative rates of VFA production can be manipulated by changing the amount of roughage included in the diet [1], by changing the rumen fluid dilution rate (2-4), and by using ionophores such as monensin. Monensin increases in vitro propionate production, without changing total VFA production [5], and increases in vivo propionate production as measured by dilution of [1-14C]-propionate (6-8) [22 and 41].

The polyether ionophore antibiotic monensin has had a profound effect on the cattle feeding industry in the United States. The greatest role of monensin is as
An additive for feedlot cattle to improve feed efficiency. Ionophore antibiotics are characterized by their effect on ruminal fermentation: they increase production of propionate [33 and 34].

Triticale is a hybrid of wheat (Triticum) and rye (Secale) first bred in laboratories during the late 19th century. The grain was originally bred in Scotland and Sweden. Commercially available triticale is almost always a second generation hybrid, i.e., a cross between two kinds of primary (first cross) triticales [9, 35, 36 and 40].

Barley has a very rapid rate of digestion in the rumen. When used as the basal grain in high concentrate feedlot diets, barley may decrease ruminal pH and increase the incidence of acidosis and bloat, compared with corn-based diets [4, 16, 18 and 42].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sixteen Brown Swiss calve, with initial weights of 170 and 200 kg, were fed sequentially a control and a monensin-supplemented diet. Experiment with four treatments. The number of replicates was four for each treatment and the experiment was last for 90 days. The experimental treatments were: 1) diet containing barley without monensin 2) diet containing barley and monensin 3) diet containing triticale without monensin and 4) diet containing triticale and monensin. The amount of monensin was set to be 30 mg kg$^{-1}$ DM. Experiment. A 2 x 4 factorial design was used to test the effects of monensin addition (0 vs. 30 mg/kg) and two grain sources (barley, TRITICALE) on VDMD. The appropriate diet was fed for at least 21 days before each experimental series. Starting 10 days before an experiment, the daily ration was divided into 2 equal meals.

Rumen fluid was sampled via the cannula with a stainless-steel probe equipped with a strainer on the distal end and a 50-ml syringe on the proximal end. Samples, each 30 ml, were taken from five locations in the rumen, pooled, and subsampled. At least three such pooled samples were taken, at 30-minute intervals, starting 6 hours after the PEG infusion began.

RESULTS

Data shown that no significant monensin levels and or variety seed source on the feed intake, daily weight grain and feed conversion rate (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant level</th>
<th>S$^{2}$</th>
<th>T 4</th>
<th>T 3</th>
<th>T 2</th>
<th>T 1</th>
<th>chart eristic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0/21</td>
<td>0/681</td>
<td>9/39</td>
<td>8/02</td>
<td>9/30</td>
<td>9/56</td>
<td>DM Intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/12</td>
<td>0/087</td>
<td>1/56</td>
<td>1/38</td>
<td>1/55</td>
<td>1/48</td>
<td>Weight grain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/43</td>
<td>0/016</td>
<td>5/95</td>
<td>5/69</td>
<td>5/97</td>
<td>6/35</td>
<td>FCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the more investigate and research, using Monensin, modified the daily weight grain and feed conversion rate. Effect Monensin on the precede ruminal fermentation caused increase propionate percentage, reduce acetat: propionate rate, reduce proteolysis proteins and deamination Amino acids and reduce production methane. Conclusion exchange overall in proceed fermentation, increase feed efficiency, increase energy and protein remain and finally increase performance.

Van Baale et al (2004) reported that by using two rations on base roughage and grain with monensin or non-momensin, feed conversion rate and weight grain was significant in calf. Using of levels 0, 11, 22 and 33 mg/kg DM ration monensin with meal soybean and or urea in the ration male calf's caused improve daily weight grain and feed conversion rate, but positive effect on feed efficiency and nitrogen with meal soybean was high than urea. They suggested that benefit effects monensin in the beef animals was to be caused improvement energy used. Increase production propionate caused increase product Glucose and finally optimum use of ration protein for weight grain.

Investigate results Goodrich et al (1984) shown that, use of monensin, no affect the daily grain weight, but the feed conversion rate improved 7.5% than control group.

Diverse response to monensin and or seed source may relate to using monensin level, ration composition, variety seed source and or protein.

Barley had high degradability in rumen, but they are limit information about degradability of triticale seed, thus by using nylon bags, estimated Dry matter and protein degradability barley and triticale seeds.
Dry matter and protein degradability barley and triticale seeds shown that, triticale same barley had high degradability in rumen.

**Rumen Fermentation Parameters**

**Rumen Nitrogen**

Use of monensin in different moons caused reduces ruminally nitrogen concentration (Table 2). Reduce is significant and between 3-5mg/dL.

By use of ionophers, can control the protein degrader microorganism and increase inert protein rate to the gut side and also, reduced the protein degradability. Use of monensin in ration, reduce nitrogen concentration in rumen. Main cause reduce of nitrogen and deamination Amino acids, is reduce protein degrader microorganism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moons</th>
<th>T 1</th>
<th>T 2</th>
<th>T 3</th>
<th>T 4</th>
<th>Moons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0/08</td>
<td>10/52</td>
<td>15/52</td>
<td>9/85</td>
<td>14/39</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>9/65</td>
<td>13/17</td>
<td>10/60</td>
<td>11/82</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>8/07</td>
<td>11/10</td>
<td>8/92</td>
<td>11/85</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rumen pH**

pH average of rumen in different treatments has shown in the tables 3 and 4. According to shown tables, there aren’t significant different in between treatments.

Van Baale et al (2004) reported that by use of two rations on base rough and grind with or no monensin, increase pH ruminally.

**Blood metabolites**

Glucose: use of monensin with different source of seed source, hasn’t significant effects on the blood glucose concentration (table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NH3</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/57</td>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/94</td>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/8891</td>
<td>0/069</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/29</td>
<td>0/56</td>
<td>Significant level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/27</td>
<td>6/91</td>
<td>Monensin**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/25</td>
<td>6/17</td>
<td>Seed**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/8891</td>
<td>0/069</td>
<td>S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/11</td>
<td>0/85</td>
<td>Barley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Main substrate for make glucose is, acids obtain of fermentation, carbon structure of amino acids deamination and glycerol.
Maas et al (2001), experiment the season effect and monensin on the alimentary characteristics of rough autumn and spring fed sheep's and suggested that use of monensin, increase the plasma glucose levels. They reported that the blood glucose increase due to exchange in ruminal fermentation process and increase propionate molar rate than other acids.

**DISCUSSION**

Antimicrobial mode of action of the polyether ionophores

Comprehensive descriptions of the mode of antimicrobial action of the ionophores have been presented [3, 12, 13, 27 and 32], but only a brief synopsis of the key features of the mode of action is presented here. The term ‘polyether’ refers to the unusual structural feature whereby there are a considerable number of heterocyclic tetrahydro-pyrans and-furans. While the backbone of the ionophores provides an alkyl-rich, lipid-soluble exterior, the ether, carboxyl, hydroxyl and carbonyloxygens are oriented internally forming a cage of potential ligands binding entrapped cations. Monensin is effectively cyclized by head-to-tail hydrogen bonding between the carboxyl group at the head and one or two hydroxyl groups at the tail. The result is a mobile cation Carrier (aptly termed an ionophore from the Greek ‘ion bearing’ as recommended by Pressman, (1976) that readily traverses the thick but porous peptidoglycan cell wall of Gram positive organisms, and is able to transport cations across the bilaminar lipid cytoplasmic membrane, much like a Trojan horse, though smaller, as illustrated in Figure 1.

![EXTRACELLULAR SPACE](http://www.liu.edu/cwis/bklyn/acadres/facdev/FacultyProjects/WebClass/micro-web/htmlfiles/ChapterA-4.html)

Figure 1: From Long Island University

Selectivity of cation binding is a distinguishing feature of each polyether ionophore, and relates to each compound’s characteristic dimensions and electromechanical properties [6, 25 and 31].

Monensin is a monovalent polyether with the following selectivity: Na>K>Rb>Li>Cs. Affinity for Na+ is approximately tenfold that for K+. By contrast, lasalocid is a divalent polyether, with a monovalent selectivity series Cs>Rb>K>Na>Li and divalent series Ba>Sr>Ca>Mg. In terms of relative potency, monensin has a 31-fold greater
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Key findings

Demonstrated in the study of feedlot cattle, the response to monensin was maintained for the entire 148 days observed. While acetic acid was little affected, but significant and reproducible shifts in the relative proportions of individual VFAs were observed. In general, in a broad array of investigations of both high carbohydrate and high fiber diets, total production of VFA was decreased, and butyric acid concentrations fell, propionic acid increased significantly. Furthermore, as demonstrated in the study of feedlot cattle, the response to monensin was maintained for the entire 148-day feeding period.

RUMINANT BENEFITS

Key findings

Ionophores modify the microbial population of the rumen and hindgut leading to changes in diet fermentation patterns allowing increased energy, protein, and lipid availability through:
- Increased production of propionic acid which allows increased synthesis of glucose and consumes hydrogen otherwise directed to methane production
- Decreased lactic acid fermentation allowing highly fermentable diets to be fed safely
- Decreased protein degradation of protein in the rumen and higher flow to the small intestine
- Improved nutrient disposition results in:
  - Increased retention of nitrogen (N)
  - Increased retention of phosphorus (P)
  - Decreased dietary requirements for P
  - Increased retention of energy
- Reduced production and emission of methane
- Favorable patterns of fermentation underpin all major uses including:
  - Improved feed conversion efficiency (FCE) and daily gain in confined cattle production
  - Improved daily gain in grazing cattle
  - Decreased incidence and severity of bloat in both feedlot and grazing animals
  - Prevention of clinical and subclinical ketosis in dairy cattle
  - Potential for increased milk production in dairy cattle
  - Decreased incidence of acute pneumonia caused by toxic fermentation of lush pasture
  - Control of coccidiosis in cattle and sheep
  - Prevention of abortion in ewes caused by toxoplasmosis.

Muir and Barreto (1979) evaluated the sensitivity of Streptococcus bovis (ATCC 15531) to a variety of antibiotics, observing that relative to the highly active antibiotic thiopetepein assigned a reference activity of 100 per cent, the rank order of activity of the polyether ionophores was salinomycin (21 per cent) > lasalocid (11 per cent) > monensin (5 per cent). Members of the ruminal ciliated protozoa fauna vary in their susceptibility to the ionophores (Poos et al., 1979), with entodiniomorphs (Entodinium, Diplodinium and Ophryoscolex) susceptible and holotrichid ciliates (such as Dasytricha, Isotricha and Charonina) generally resistant. Monensin is fungistatic at low concentration (1µg/mL), becoming fungicidal at high concentration (16µg/mL) [21 and 26].

Effects of Ionophores on rumen VFA production

Richardson et al (1974, 1976) and Raun et al (1976) undertook a number of studies both in vitro and in vivo to assess the effect of monensin on rumen production of VFA and the proportions of the main acids, acetic, propionic and butyric. Many investigations have confirmed the characteristic shifts in VFA production induced by the use of monensin [5 and 20] and shown that similar VFA patterns are associated with the use of salinomycin [19, 30 and 44] and lasalocid [28, 43 and 45].

Table 6. VFA Concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Propane (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Propane (%)</th>
<th>Acetate:Propionate</th>
<th>Acetate (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Acetate (%)</th>
<th>Butyrate (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Butyrate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lasalocid</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monensin</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&gt;24.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narasin</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&gt;24.00</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinomycin</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monensin + Tylosin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt;24.00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, in a broad array of investigations of both high carbohydrate and high fiber diets, total production of VFA was little affected, but significant and reproducible shifts in the relative proportions of individual VFAs were observed. While acetic and butyric acid concentrations fell, propionic acid increased significantly. Furthermore, as demonstrated in the study of feedlot cattle, the response to monensin was maintained for the entire 148-day feeding period.
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period. Nagaraja et al (1987) examined the ability of the ionophores to influence VFA production by incubating a ruminal fluid inoculum obtained from a steer fed an alfalfa hay and grain diet with a carbohydrate mixture and graded doses of each of the ionophores. The results of this study are presented in Table 6.

Nagaraja et al 1987
1 Antimicrobial concentration required to increase propionate by 25 per cent above the control
2 Maximum observed increase in propionate concentration
3 Antimicrobial concentration required to reduce acetate:propionate by 25 per cent of the control
4 Maximum observed reduction in acetate:propionate
5 Antimicrobial concentrations required to inhibit butyrate by 25 per cent of the control
6 Maximum observed inhibition of butyrate concentration
7 Monensin and tylosin mixed at a ratio of 3:1 (w:w)

Studies by van Maanen et al (1978) corroborated the VFA results of Richardson et al (1976) for both high roughage and high grain diets. In addition, however, van Maanen and coworkers evaluated the rumen production kinetics of propionate and found that, for roughage and grain diets, propionate production increased above control levels by 49.4 per cent and 76.3 per cent respectively. These increases should be compared with observed molar percentage increases of propionate of 15.1 per cent and 24.6 per cent respectively. The notable difference between production rate and molar percentage underlines the importance of appropriate interpretation of VFA percentage which may be an unreliable predictor of VFA production rate. Similar observations of the discongruity of production and proportional concentrations were made by Prange et al (1978) and Rogers and Davis (1982) and echoed the prior warnings of Leng and co-workers.

Richardson et al (1976) noted that in changing the molar proportions of the rumen VFA in favor of propionate, monensin theoretically increases the efficiency of conversion of feed energy to energy in the VFA end products which are available for absorption. In changing molar proportions from 60:30:10 (acetic:propionic:butyric) to 52:40:8 gross energy savings of 5.6 per cent were calculated. On the basis of fermentation balance equations, it has been predicted that propionic acid production increases should be associated with reductions in methane production. Indeed reductions in methane production of 4–31 per cent have been described by Schelling (1984).

Rowe et al (1981) examined rumen fermentation in sheep and found increased propionate production accompanied reductions in methane of 37.5 per cent [17 and 24].

Digestion in the rumen
While monensin in vitro decreased digestion of organic matter, protein and cellulose, but usually not starch [37], In vivo ruminal digestion of organic matter and cellulose is not normally decreased by monensin, possibly because of an increased retention time for solids and liquids in the rumen [7].

Zinn and Borques (1993) studied the effect of monensin on utilisation by feedlot steers of a fat-supplemented, highenergy diet. While ruminal organic matter digestibility was decreased, postruminal digestion was increased which may more than compensate as assimilation of nutrients from the small intestine may be superior. It is clear that many factors must affect digestibility, especially the Chemical and physical properties of the different fiber sources as well as total quality and quantity of the diet.

Reductions in methane production
The characteristic and consistent increase in propionate production in response to monensin is accompanied by a reduction in methane production [38] consistent with the diversion of hydrogen (H2) from methane synthesis to the production of propionate [1 and 29].

Henderson et al, (1981) in a study of the effect of monensin on pure and mixed cultures of rumen bacteria found that the ruminococci and Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens, both significant rumen acetate and H2 producers, were inhibited by monensin, which would lead to decreased availability of H2 for methane production by methanogenic bacteria. Wedegaertner and Johnson (1983) studied the effect of monensin on the partition of energy by growing-finishing steers fed a basal corn grain, corn-silage diet. Methane production was significantly reduced when monensin was included in the diet. It was reduced by 26.6 per cent compared with control animals, a reduction that was similar to the 16–24 per cent reductions reported by Thornton and Owens (1981) in steers on 20–70 per cent roughage diets, and the 31 per cent reduction noted by Joyner et al, (1979) in a study of lambs consuming chopped hay and corn mixed with 20 ppm monensin.
Singh and Mohini (1999) examined the effects of monensin–induced manipulation of rumen fermentation in cross-bred calves offered a low quality diet of wheat straw or rice straw. Groups of calves were fed differing proportions of straw and concentrate mix (peanut meal, corn and wheat bran) offered for twenty days at which time rumen fluid was sampled and production of methane determined. While total gas production by rumen fluid samples incubated for 24 hours remained relatively constant at about 110 l/kg digestible dry matter (DDM), irrespective of source of straw, proportion of straw and concentrate or presence of monensin, significant changes in methane production were observed. With both types of straw, as the proportion of straw was reduced the production of methane was also reduced. While monensin led to a fall in methane production in the rice straw diet of around 25 per cent at all straw:concentrate ratios, with wheat straw, increasing quantities of concentrate were associated with increasing reductions in methane production in the monensin group. The trends in methane production found in this study are consistent with those previously found by Blaxter and Wainman (1964) and Ørskov et al. (1968).
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