

Formulation and evaluation of controlled release mucoadhesive oral tablet of clarithromycin

Margret Chandira\*, Sachin, B. S. Venkateshwarlu, Debjit Bhowmik, B. Jayakar Vinayaka Missions College of Pharmacy Vinayaka Mission University, Salem, Tamilnadu

#### Abstract

The present investigation concerns the development of mucoadhesive tablets of Clarithromycin which were designed to prolong the gastric residence time after oral administration. Matrix tablets of Clarithromycin were formulated using four mucoadhesive polymers namely Carbopol 974P, HPMC K15M and HPMC K4M carried out studies for weight variation, thickness, hardness, content uniformity, swelling index, mucoadhesive force and in vitro drug release. Formulation of F9 and F12 which were formulated by using polymers, HPMC K14M, HPMC K15M and Carbopol 974P provided controlled release of Clarithromycin over the period of 12 hrs. The cumulative % of drug release of formulation F9 and F12 were 93.16 and 96.82 respectively. Invitro releases of F1 to F12 were found to be diffusion controlled and followed zero order kinetics. Formulation of F9 and F12 which were formulated by using polymers HPMC K4M, HPMC K15M and Carbopol 974P were established to be the optimum formulation with optimum bioadhesive force, swelling index & desired invitro drug release. Further investigations are needed to confirm the in vivo efficiency, long term stability studies are needed to stabilize the controlled released (F9 and F12) formulations.

Key words: mucoadhesive tablets, swelling index, Clarithromycin, bioadhesive force.

#### Introduction

Mucoadhesion as a new strategy to improve the efficacy of various drug delivery system. Potential of mucoadhesive polymers was shown in ocular, nasal, vaginal and buccal drug delivery systems leading to a significantly prolonged residence time of sustained release delivery systems on these mucosal membranes. In addition, the development of oral mucoadhesive delivery systems was always of great interest as delivery systems capable of adhering to certain gastrointestinal (GI) segments would offer various advantages. However, mucoadhesive drug delivery systems have so far not reached their full potential in oral drug delivery, because the adhesion of drug delivery systems in the GI tract is insufficient to provide a prolonged residence time of delivery systems in the stomach or small intestine. The conventional dosage forms stays in the stomach for 0.5-3 hours and passes to small intestines from where it gets absorbed within 3-6 hours. It is therefore

difficult to adjust release retardation and stomach retention for longer period of time. Some antibiotics produce effect depending on concentration at the site of bacterial infection. The bioavailability of active ingredients which are not completely absorbed decreases because part of the dose is lost, so frequent administration of dosage form is required. Clarithromycin is a macrolide antibiotic, It prevents bacteria from growing by interfering with their protein synthesis. Clarithromycin binds to the subunit 50S of the bacterial ribosome and thus inhibits the translation of peptides. Clarithromycin has similar antimicrobial spectrum as erythromycin but is more effective against certain gram-negative bacteria. Clarithromycin is used to treat certain infections caused by bacteria, such as pneumonia (a lung infection), bronchitis (infection of the tubes leading to the lungs), and infections of the ears, sinuses, skin, and throat. It also is used to treat and prevent disseminated Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) infection [a type of lung infection that often affects people with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)]. It is used in combination with other medications to eliminate H. pylori, a bacteria that causes ulcers. Clarithromycin is in a class of medications called macrolide antibiotics. It works by stopping the growth of bacteria. Antibiotics will not work for colds, flu, or other viral infections.Clarithromycin (CL) has a short half life 2.5-3 hours. The usual oral dosage regimen is 250-500 mg every 4-6 hours and Gastric residence time of the conventional Clarithromycin dosage form is 0.5-2 hours. CL is having suitable properties stability in stomach pH and soluble in acidic pH. By considering above facts, the present study was undertaken with the following objective. To design the controlled release mucoadhesive oral tablet to increase the residence time of the drug in the stomach and release for extended period of time in order to; Increase bioavailability of the drug, Reduce the dosing frequency, Improve patient compliance.

# **Materials and Methods**

Clarithromycin was procured by Biochem Pharmaceutical (Daman, India), HPMC K4M, HPMC K15M was gifted by Colorcon Asia pvt., Goa, India; Carbopol-974P gifted by Noveon, Mumbai, India, Lactose, Mg-stearate was gifted by Loba Chemie Pvt Ltd, Mumbai, India.

#### Formulation of mucoadhesive tablets

CL, HPMC K4M, HPMC K15M, carbopol 974P and lactose were blended homogeneously in mortar as the quantity given in Table 3. Blended mixture was passed through the 60# Sieve and magnesium stearate 1% was added and blended. The homogeneously blended mixture was compressed in rotary tablet press with the 13.7 mm flat punch.

| Formulation<br>No. * | HPMC<br>K4M<br>(mg) | HPMC<br>K15M<br>(mg) | Carbopol -<br>974P<br>(mg) | Mg-<br>Stearate<br>(mg) | Talc<br>(mg) | Lactose<br>(mg) |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| F 1                  | 110                 | -                    | -                          | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 81              |
| F 2                  | 125                 | -                    | -                          | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 66              |
| F 3                  | 140                 | -                    | -                          | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 51              |
| F 4                  | -                   | 110                  | -                          | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 81              |
| F 5                  | -                   | 125                  | -                          | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 66              |
| F 6                  | -                   | 140                  | -                          | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 51              |
| F 7                  | 100                 | -                    | 10                         | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 81              |
| F 8                  | 105                 | -                    | 15                         | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 71              |
| F 9                  | 80                  | -                    | 20                         | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 91              |
| F 10                 | -                   | 90                   | 10                         | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 91              |
| F 11                 | -                   | 80                   | 20                         | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 91              |
| F 12                 | -                   | 70                   | 30                         | 4.5                     | 4.5          | 91              |

Table. 1 Formulations composition of CL tablet of F 1 to F 12

\* All formulation contains 250 mg of CL, \* Total weight of tablet – 450 mg.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Evaluation of Mucoadhesive Tablets

*Tablet dimensions:*- The dimensions determined for formulated tablets were tabulated in Table No 2 Tablets mean thickness (n=3) were uniform in F1 to F12 formulations and were found to be in the range of 0.32 cm to 0.345 cm.

*Hardness:*- The hardness of tablets of each batch ranged between 6.2 to 7.3 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> (Table No 2). This ensures good handling characteristics for all batches.

*Friability Test:*- The values of friability test were tabulated in Table No 2. The Percentage friability was less than 1% in all the formulations(Except formulation F 6) ensuring that the tablets were mechanically stable.

*Weight Variation Test:* The percentage weight variations for all formulations were tabulated in Table No 2. All the formulated (F1 to F12) tablets passed weight variation test as the % weight variation was within the standard pharmacopoeial limits of  $\pm 7.5\%$  of the weight. The weights of all the tablets were found to be uniform with low standard deviation values.

*Drug Content Uniformity:-* The percentage of drug content for F1 to F12 was found to be between 99.05% and 100.94% of Clarithromycin, it complies with official specifications. The results were shown in Table No 2.

|             | Hardness*             | Thickness*         | %          | Weight         | %       |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| Formulation | (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | ( <b>cm</b> )      | Friability | Variation*(mg) | Drug    |
| No.         |                       |                    |            |                | content |
| F 1         | 6.6±0.152             | $0.325 \pm .00110$ | 0.52       | 453±2.08       | 100.41  |
| F 2         | 6.8±0.289             | $0.341 \pm 0.0012$ | 0.64       | 449±1.52       | 100.94  |
| F 3         | 6.3±0.462             | $0.343 \pm 0.0010$ | 0.68       | 454±4.93       | 99.52   |
| F 4         | 7.3±0.354             | $0.328 \pm 0.0006$ | 0.85       | 452±5.29       | 100.94  |
| F 5         | 6.9±0.145             | $0.321 \pm 0.0010$ | 0.76       | 448±3.21       | 99.11   |
| F 6         | $6.8\pm0.587$         | $0.323 \pm 0.0010$ | 1.09       | 449±4.00       | 99.52   |
| F 7         | 6.7±0.345             | $0.331 \pm 0.0006$ | 0.60       | 454±2.64       | 101.82  |
| F 8         | 6.8±0.306             | 0.331±0.0115       | 0.81       | 449±4.04       | 99.05   |
| F 9         | 7.3±0.328             | $0.345 \pm 0.0006$ | 0.89       | 448±1.52       | 101.41  |
| F10         | 6.3±0.133             | $0.337 \pm 0.0029$ | 0.82       | 451±1.52       | 99.75   |
| F 11        | 6.2±0.218             | $0.332 \pm 0,0012$ | 0.83       | 451±1.32       | 99.65   |
| F 12        | 6.5±0.314             | $0.332 \pm 0.0009$ | 0.86       | 453±2.14       | 99.48   |

**Table :2** Physical properties of tablets

\* (n=3, ±S.D.)

#### Mucoadhesive Force Measurement of Tablet

Adhesion was reported to be effected by hydration. Hydration of the mucoadhesive polymer is essential to initiate the mucoadhesive bonding process. In case of tablets applied in the dehydrated state, which is most convenient, it is essential that sufficient water is available so that rapid hydration takes place, and a flexible rubbery state occurs. The capillary force arises when water from the space between the mucosa and the polymer was taken up by a dry system. Once the bond is formed, reduction in the rate of swelling due to water uptake from the tissue surface may only prolong the association of the tablet with the mucosa. Removal of water from the underlying mucosa layer by the hydrating polymer may increase the cohesive forces of mucus; this plays a vital role in the establishment of an effective mucoadhesive bond.Modified balance method was used for the measurement of mucoadhesive force. During measurement of mucoadhesive force 15 min contact time was kept constant. Mucoadhesive force depends on the viscosity and concentration of the polymer. Formulation F1 was having lowest mucoadhesive force because the HPMC K4M having lower viscosity. While formulation (F 12) containing HPMC K15M and carbopol 971 shows higher mucoadhesion force due to higher viscosity .In order to increase the mucoadhesive strength of low viscosity polymer containing HPMC K4M was combined with carbopol 974P having good mucoadhesive property. This combination results in good mucoadhesive properties as shown in Table no. 7. From the above results it was found that polymers having high molecular weight and high viscosity exhibited higher adhesion. HPMC K15M and Carbopol 974P were found to be having good mucoadhesive strength. HPMC and carbopol possesses hydroxy and carboxy groups respectively required for bioadhesion.

| Formulation | Mucoadhesive Strength | Mucoadhesion Force |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| No          | (gm)                  | (dyne)             |
| F 1         | $10.45 \pm 1.32$      | 1.1243             |
| F 2         | $11.89 \pm 1.17$      | 1.1664             |
| F 3         | 18.93±2.37            | 1.8570             |
| F 4         | 22.89±4.92            | 2.2455             |
| F 5         | 26.78±4.46            | 2.6271             |
| F 6         | 34.27±1.06            | 3.3618             |
| F 7         | 31.69±1.73            | 3.1087             |
| F 8         | 37.43±1.08            | 3.6718             |
| F 9         | 38.46±2.55            | 3.3772             |
| F 10        | 36.93±2.64            | 3.6228             |
| F 11        | 42.37±2.89            | 4.1564             |
| F 12        | 46.48±1.87            | 4.5596             |

| Table.  | 3 | Mucoadl | nesive | strength | and | force | of fo | rmul     | ation | F 1 | to | F | 12 |
|---------|---|---------|--------|----------|-----|-------|-------|----------|-------|-----|----|---|----|
| I unic. | 0 | mucoau  | 105170 | Sucieu   | ana | IUICC | 01 10 | I III UI | ution |     |    | • |    |



Fig. 1 Mucoadhesion of tablets in gm



Fig. 2 Formulation percent adhesion of tablet in dyne

# Swelling Study of Tablets

Results showed that polymers with higher concentration had lower swelling this was due to the fact that polymers concentration restricts the movement of the polymers. (Table. 4)

| Form. | Time (hrs) |        |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|-------|------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| no.   | 1          | 2      | 3     | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      | 8      | 10     |
| F1    | 133.8      | 136.56 | 137.4 | 139.25 | 140.12 | 142.23 | 143.36 | 143.89 | 144.87 |
| F2    | 98.95      | 134.32 | 135.6 | 136.85 | 137.64 | 139.74 | 140.61 | 143.58 | 144.34 |
| F3    | 100.2      | 130.67 | 132.2 | 134.69 | 136.67 | 137.83 | 138.97 | 139.21 | 140.73 |
| F4    | 63.36      | 96.83  | 100.9 | 105.36 | 111.86 | 119.34 | 125.87 | 130.94 | 134.99 |
| F5    | 73.31      | 115.46 | 118.4 | 120.81 | 121.36 | 125.36 | 129.35 | 131.23 | 132.21 |
| F6    | 79.66      | 113.57 | 115.6 | 116.25 | 117.49 | 119.39 | 125.75 | 128.37 | 129.99 |
| F7    | 85.28      | 129.45 | 131.9 | 132.12 | 132.68 | 133.25 | 133.24 | 133.92 | 134.17 |
| F8    | 98.28      | 129.15 | 30.57 | 132.69 | 133.24 | 134.53 | 135.45 | 136.57 | 137.51 |
| F9    | 98.27      | 126.93 | 128.7 | 129.98 | 130.24 | 132.48 | 132.25 | 134.36 | 135.03 |
| F10   | 52.36      | 83.45  | 87.36 | 95.36  | 102.23 | 106.35 | 115.23 | 118.63 | 122.48 |
| F11   | 68.58      | 109.67 | 111.6 | 113.65 | 115.34 | 118.39 | 11934  | 123.35 | 125.68 |
| F12   | 73.59      | 111.34 | 112.3 | 112.98 | 115.34 | 116.37 | 117.68 | 118.45 | 119.36 |

 Table. 4 Percentage swelling of formulation F 1 to F 12

88



Fig.3 Percentage swelling Vs time of formulation F 1 to F 12

Formulations containing HPMC K 4 M i.e. F1, F2 and F3 had higher % Swelling than formulations containing HPMC K 15 M i.e. F4, F5 and F6. Polymers HPMC K4M and Carbopol 974P have higher cross linking indicate that polymers having cross linking constrain and therefore the polymer did not open up easily.

Fabergas and Gareia have reported a correlation between % Swelling and mucoadhesive strength. Initial swelling due to hydration aided bioadhesion but further swelling induced over-extension of hydrogen bonds and other forces. This resulted in lower bioadhesion. % Swelling decreased with polymer concentration because high concentration of the polymer restricts its movement.

# Comparison of In vitro release profile of optimized formulation F 9 and F 12 with market CR tablet (Biaxin)

In vitro release profile of optimized formulation F9 and F 12 were compared with marketed SR tablet (Biaxin-500). The Initial percentage drug release after 1 hour for F9, F12 and Biaxin were found to 15.25, 14.64 and 8.59 respectively. The percentage drug release after 12 hour for F9, F12 and Biaxin were found to 95.78, 96.38 and 85.32 respectively, so the release from the optimized formulation were higher compared to marketed product.

| Time   | % Cumulative Drug Release* |            |                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (Hour) | F 9                        | F 12       | <b>Biaxin</b> Tablet |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1      | 15.25±1.16                 | 14.64±1.96 | 8.59±0.36            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2      | 31.70±3.48                 | 32.97±3.56 | 15.58±0.63           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3      | 40.95±2.99                 | 42.56±1.34 | 27.94±1.89           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4      | 51.80±1.17                 | 53.30±2.36 | 33.18±2.92           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5      | 59.88±6.95                 | 61.26±4.96 | 46.51±1.61           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6      | 70.07±8.37                 | 72.57±4.78 | 53.26±0.85           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7      | 78.97±6.99                 | 79.64±5.26 | 61.52±1.44           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8      | 85.56±3.28                 | 86.97±4.29 | 65.74±0.31           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10     | 91.81±4.65                 | 90.71±3.67 | $78.83 \pm 2.68$     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12     | 95.78±0.95                 | 96.38±1.21 | 85.32±1.30           |  |  |  |  |  |

Table. : 5 Cumulative drug release of formulation F 9 and F 12

\* (n=3, ±S.D.)



Fig. 4 Percentage cumulative drug release Vs time

### Conclusion

Hence in present investigation, an attempt was made to deliver Clarithromycin via oral mucoadhesive drug delivery system to the vicinity of absorption site by prolonging the gastric residence time of the dosage form. For the formulation of oral mucoadhesive tablet various polymer used like Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose K15M, Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose K4M, Carbopol 974P, used as hydrophilic matrix forming and mucoadhesive polymer in varying concentration along with Magnesium stearate, talc and Lactose as filler. Tablets were subjected to various evaluation parameters such as drug content, hardness, weight variation, friability, mucoadhesive strength, swelling index, and

in vitro drug release study. It was revealed that the tablets of all batches had acceptable physical parameters. Tablets of batch F9 and F12 had good Mucoadhesion along with good swelling behaviors and in vitro drug release. A result of the study of individual polymers shows that the, HPMC K15M, HPMC K4M and Carbopl 974P, alone was also able to controll the release in 12 hour. Release of Clarithromycin,from combination of HPMC K15M with Carbopl 974P, combination HPMC K4M with Carbopl 974P gave the good results compared to employing individual polymers. Tablets of Batch F9 and F12 were selected as an optimum batch and evaluated for further parameters like accelerated stability study and characterization using IR spectroscopy. The stability study revealed that there was no significant change in dissolution profile and mucoadhesive strength for a period of one month.

### Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to Prof.(Dr.) B.Jayakar, principal Vinayaka missions college of pharmacy, Salem, Tamilnadu, India providing all the facilities for this research Project.

#### References

[1] S. B. Patil, R. S. R. Murthy, H. S. Mahajan, R. D. Wagh, S. G. Gattani, *Pharm Times*, **2006**, 38(4), 25-28.

[2] J Swarbrick, JC. Boylon, "Encyclopedia of Pharmaceutical Technology" Marcel Dekker, Volume-10, 458-460.

[3] A Semalty, M Semalty. Pharmainfonet, 2006.

[4] K.P.R.Chowdary and L.Srinivas, *Indian drugs*, 2000, 37, (9), 400-405.

[5] N. Vivien, R. Gaurl, Parshoen, M. Madan, *Euro J Pharm Biopharm*, 2002, 50, 109-115.

[6] B Jasti, X Li, Pharmatech, 2003, 53-58.

[7] R. Khanna, S. P. Agrawal and Alka Ahuja, Indian J pharm sc., 1998, 1, 1-11.

[8] Toress D., Cunna, M., Alonso M.J, Euro J Pharm Biopharm, 2001, 51, 199-205.

[9] AK. Shingla, M Chawla, A Singh. Drug Devel Indust Pharm, 2000, 9, 913-914.

[10] R.B. Satoskar, S.D.Bhandarkar, Phamacology and Phamacotherapeutics, (18<sup>th</sup> Edn), Popular Prakashan, (**2003**) 410.

[11] D Tivari, R Sause and PL. Madan; AAPS Pharmscitech, **1999**, 1(3), 13.

[12] K Morimoto, J Wang, Y Tabata, D Bi, J Contr. Rel. 73, 2001, 223–231.

[13] MD. Chavanpatil, P Jain, S Chaudhari, PR Vavia, Inter J Pharm, 316, 2006, 86–92.

[14] NA Naffee, FA Ismail, NA. Boraje, *Drug Devel. Indust. Pharmacy*, **2004**, Vol. 30 (9), P 995-1004.

[15] R.Bala Rane sha Chary and Y. Madhusudan Rao, *Drug Dev and Ind Pharm*, 26 (8), **2000**, 901-906.

[16] K.P.R. Chowdary and G.Balatripura Sundari, *Indian J. pharm. Sci*, 65 (6), **2003**, pp-591-594.

[17] Chowdary K.P.R, and Kamlkara reddy G, Indian Drugs, 39 (4), 2002, 225-229.

[18] Lalla J.K and Gurnancy R.A., Indian Drug, 39 (5) (2002), 270-276.