

Scholars Research Library

Annals of Biological Research, 2013, 4 (5):39-45 (http://scholarsresearchlibrary.com/archive.html)



# Investigation of tidal overflow from underground dam in coastal aquifers for prevention of saltwater iintrusion

Mehdi Nezhad Naderi<sup>\*1</sup>, Masoud Reza Hessami Kermani<sup>1</sup> and Gholam-Abbas Barani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environment, Shahid Bahonar University, Kerman, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Shahid Bahonar University, Kerman, Iran

# ABSTRACT

A wedge of saltwater can be entered to the coastal aquifer. Because of density difference between saltwater and freshwater is formed a transition zone between two fluids. Forward rate and extent of saltwater transition zone depends on several factors including: changes in sea level, aquifer characteristics, and hydrological conditions of upstream, tidal and seasonal fluctuations of seawater. One of ways for prevention of seawater intrusion in coastal aquifer is construction of underground dam. In this paper changes of hydraulic gradient in groundwater aquifer by tidal fluctuations of seawater over the weir of underground dam are caused advance of saltwater far away the sea at the coast. The multiphase flow is simulated by computational fluid dynamics method. By simulation of tidal flow over the weir of underground dam is defined position of another underground dam at upstream of first underground dam for prevention of seawater intrusion.

Keywords: underground dam, multiphase flow, computational fluid dynamic method, Prevention of seawater intrusion, Fluent Software.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Fresh groundwater in the coastal aquifer is drained seas or lakes under natural conditions and the interface line between fresh and salt water occurs. Heavy exploitation of coastal aquifers has effect on the hydraulic gradient. Changes of hydraulic gradient in groundwater aquifer are caused advance of salt water far away the sea at the coast. This phenomenon is called seawater intrusion. Two researchers named Ghybn and Herzberg separately studied fresh underground water flow to the oceans along the coasts of Europe. They found that anywhere from a coastal aquifer, If depth of interface between fresh and saltwater is measured from sea level, ( $h_{\pi}$ ), then level of fresh ground water

from sea level,  $(h_{f})$ , will be 1/40  $(h_{g})$  in that point (Ghyben, 1889; Herzberg, 1901).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Since these studies were started by two scientists this phenomenon is mentioned with regard to "Ghyben -Herzberg" that will be explained. Many reviews on the types of groundwater management models and their applications are made by [11, 25]. The management models applications in saltwater intrusion are relatively recent [5, 10, 1, 4, 5, 6,7,8,2, 9, and 17]. In this study, flow is unsteady with two-dimensional turbulence form. Velocity and pressure are a function of time and space. To model of the velocity and pressure fluctuations is the integrated from the Navier Stokes equation at time. Integration of Navier Stokes equations at time is known Reynolds equations [19]/ Turbulence model equations are two equation models k- $\varepsilon$  (Standard) that have be averaged in depth [18].  $\varepsilon$  equation is as one of the main sources of the limitations of accuracy of the standard version of the k- $\varepsilon$  model and the Reynolds stress model. It is interesting that k- $\varepsilon$  model includes a correction term that is dependent to strain with c13 constant in the  $\varepsilon$  equation of RNG model [24]. WillCox provided turbulence equations of k- $\omega$  (standard) model [23].

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial\rho u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\rho u u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\rho u v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial\rho u w}{\partial z} - \rho f_c v = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z}$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho v v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho v w}{\partial z} + \rho f_c u = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yz}}{\partial z}$$
(3)

$$\frac{\partial \rho_w}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho_{uw}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho_{ww}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho_{ww}}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} - \rho g \tag{4}$$

#### Turbulence model equation

Known two-equation model of k-ε (Standard) are presented for averaged form in depth as follows: [18].

$$\frac{\partial hk}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U_j hk}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\nu + \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma_k}) h \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \right] + h P_k + h P_{k\nu} - h\varepsilon$$
(5)

$$\frac{\partial h\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U_j h\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\nu + \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma \varepsilon}) h \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x} \right] + hc_{1\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} P_k + hP_{\varepsilon \nu} - hc_{2\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k}$$
(6)

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_t = c_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{P}_k = 2\boldsymbol{\nu}_t \boldsymbol{S}_{ij}.\boldsymbol{S}_{ij}$$
(7)

$$P_{kv} = c_k \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}, c_k = \frac{1}{c_f^{1/2}}, P_{\varepsilon v} = c_\varepsilon \frac{u_f^4}{h^2}, c_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_*\sigma_t}} \frac{c_{2\varepsilon}c_{\mu}^{1/2}}{c_f^{3/4}}, c_f = \frac{u_f^2}{u^2 + v^2 + w^2} = \frac{n^2g}{h^{1/3}}$$
(8)

$$c_{\mu} = 0.09, c_{\varepsilon 1} = 1.44, c_{\varepsilon 2} = 1.92, \sigma_k = 1.0, \sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1.31$$

 $P_{kv}$  and  $P_{kv}$  is production terms as result of non-uniform distribution velocity in depth that is stronger near-bed.  $P_k$  is production term of turbulent kinetic energy averaged in depth as result of velocity gradients in the plan.  $v_t$  is the vortex viscosity. Turbulence model is used for calculation of lateral flow into one channel and is achieved much better results in comparison with  $v_t$  for fixed parameters of rotational flow [16].  $c_f$  is the bed friction coefficient.  $\sigma_t$  is Schmidt number that shows relationship between turbulence viscosity and turbulent diffusion coefficient according to the following equation:

$$\mathcal{E}_d = \frac{V_t}{\sigma_t} \tag{9}$$

Amount of  $\sigma_t$  is considered 0.5 [15]. Although values of  $\sigma_t$  are 0.5 to 2 in variable references [13].  $e_*$  is coefficient that gives turbulence diffusion coefficient in depth by following equation [15].

$$\varepsilon_d = e_* h u_f \tag{10}$$

Direct measurement of color broadcasting in the fixed-width channels offers 0.15 for  $e_*$ . Although Keller and Rodi achieved better solutions for the velocity and stress within the composite channels [15]. On the other hand Biglari and Sturm have been assumed  $e_*$  equaled to 0.3 to get the better answer within the composite channels [1].

## Scholars Research Library

MCGurik and Rodi have considered  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{e_*\sigma_t}}$  equaled to 3.6 [16]. In  $\varepsilon$  equation of RNG model includes a correction

term  $c_{\varepsilon 1}$  that is constant strain-dependent [24]. For k- $\varepsilon$  (RNG), we have:

$$\frac{\partial h\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U_j h\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\nu + \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma \varepsilon}) h \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x} \right] + h c_{1\varepsilon}^* \frac{\varepsilon}{k} P_k + h P_{\varepsilon \nu} - h c_{2\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k}$$
(11)

$$c_{\mu} = 0.0845, c_{1\varepsilon}^{*} = c_{1\varepsilon} - \frac{\eta(1 - \frac{\eta}{\eta_{0}})}{1 + \beta \eta^{3}}, c_{1\varepsilon} = 1.68, \sigma_{k} = 1.39, \beta = 0.012, c_{1\varepsilon} = 1.42,$$

$$\eta = (2E_{ij}.E_{ij})^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{k}{\varepsilon}, \eta_{0} = 4.377$$
(12)

Only constant  $\beta$  is adjustable, high levels of turbulent data are obtained near-wall. All other constants are calculated explicitly as part of the RNG process.

$$\frac{\partial hk}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U_j hk}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ (\nu + \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma_k}) h \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \right] + P_k + P_b - h\varepsilon$$
(13)

$$\frac{\partial h\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U_j h\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [(\nu + \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma\varepsilon})h\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial x}] + hc_{1\varepsilon}\frac{\varepsilon}{k}P_k + hc_1S_\varepsilon - hc_2\frac{\varepsilon^2}{k + \sqrt{\nu\varepsilon}} + S_\varepsilon$$
(14)

$$c_{1} = Max[0.43, \frac{\eta}{\eta + s}], \eta = s\frac{k}{\varepsilon}, s = \sqrt{2s_{ij}s_{ij}}, \mu_{t} = hc_{\mu}\frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon}, P_{k} = -\rho\overline{u_{i}u_{j}}\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}},$$

$$P_{k} = \mu_{t}s^{2}, P_{b} = \beta g_{i}\frac{\mu_{t}}{\Pr_{t}}\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{i}}, \mu_{t} = \rho c_{\mu}\frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon}, c_{\mu} = \frac{1}{A_{0} + A_{s}}\frac{KU^{*}}{\varepsilon}, U^{*} = \sqrt{s_{ij}s_{ij}} + \overline{\Omega_{ij}}\overline{\Omega_{ij}},$$

$$\overline{\Omega_{ij}} = \Omega_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ijk}\omega_{k}, A_{0} = 4.04, A_{s} = \sqrt{6}\cos\Phi, \Phi = \frac{1}{3}\cos^{-1}(\sqrt{6}\omega), \omega = \frac{s_{ij}s_{jk}s_{ki}}{\varepsilon^{3}}, \tilde{s} = \sqrt{s_{ij}s_{ij}},$$

$$s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}), c_{1\varepsilon} = 1.44, c_{2} = 1.9, \sigma_{k} = 1, \sigma_{\varepsilon} = 1.2, \beta = -\frac{1}{\rho}(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T})p, \Pr_{t} = 0.85$$

$$(15)$$

WillCox, turbulence model k- $\omega$  (standard) equation to be provided as follows: [23]:

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} + U_j \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} = \tau_{ij} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} - \beta^* k \omega + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [(\nu + \sigma^* \nu_T) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j}]$$
(16)

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + U_j \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j} = \alpha \frac{\omega}{k} \tau_{ij} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} - \beta \omega^2 k \omega + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [(\nu + \sigma \nu_T) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j}]$$
(17)

$$v_t = \frac{k}{\omega}, \alpha = \frac{5}{9}, \beta = \frac{3}{40}, \beta^* = \frac{9}{100}, \sigma = \frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon = \beta^* \omega k$$

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Numerical Model

The values of the physical properties of water are considered 998.2, 0.001003, 4182 and 0.6, respectively, for density, viscosity, heat capacity and thermal conductivity. Solutions of all governing equations are subject to assignment of variables correctly in the boundary nodes. In steady state problems required only boundary condition but in unsteady state problems is required the initial conditions for all nodes in the network. Common boundary conditions in hydraulic issues include [20]:A- Inlet boundary condition: numerical models can fit the model by

means of the various boundary conditions such as velocity, mass flow, etc. For example, in modeling of flow inside a closed or open channel can be used velocity inlet as input boundary condition. B- The outlet boundary condition is considered pressure outlet equals the atmospheric pressure. If the output is chosen at a far distance from geometric constraints, and no change in direction of flow then the flow state is developed full. Using this model is caused the output surface is perpendicular to the flow and gradient is zero in the perpendicular direction on the output [20]. C -Wall boundary condition: the wall boundary condition is used to limit the area of between fluid and solid. The model is ready for simulation by Solutions set and defining the model. The following steps show the simulation process [22]: selection methods of discretization equation: In this paper first order upstream difference method is used for discretization of momentum, k,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\omega$  equations and the standard method is used to find the pressure. Selection methods of the relation velocity - Pressure: this step is only be studied segregated. In this paper is used from SIMPLE method for velocity - pressure coupling. Determine the discount factors: the discount factor values are used for control of calculated variables in the each iteration. In this paper, the default values 0.3, 1, 0.7, 0.8, 0.8 and 1 is used respectively for the pressure, density, momentum, k,  $\varepsilon$  and turbulent viscosity. In this paper, the initial values of the relative pressure is considered zero And the initial values of velocity components close to the average values presented in the input stream. By completing the steps in the numerical model, we can start the introduced process of problem by defining of repeat process. The frequency of reporting of results can be introduced before computing the numerical model. During solution process can be seen convergence of solution by the control of residues, integral of surface, statistics and values of the force. After finishing solution the computation of the unknown quantities and the results can be calculated at any point of the field and can be displayed by vector in the form, contour and profile views [22]. In this paper for solution of flow is usually introduced initial number repeat 1000 with report of every step of the calculation that conditions for convergence of the unknown parameters were satisfied after 300 to 350 iterations. The results of the numerical models show that increasing saltwater hydraulic gradient and times of tidal flow are caused to seawater intrusion from over underground dam to coast as figure 2-a to 2-е.



Figure 1: Meshing model in Gambit software



Figure 2a: Velocity magnitude contours for the two phase flow for seawater intrusion from right input, freshwater from left input (time =1s).



Figure 2b: Velocity magnitude contours for the two phase flow for seawater intrusion from right input, freshwater from left input (time



Figure 2c: Velocity magnitude contours for the two phase flow for seawater intrusion from right input, freshwater from left input (time =11s).



Figure 2d. Velocity magnitude contours for the two phase flow for seawater intrusion from right input, freshwater from left input (time =21s).

## Meshing model

Gambit software version 2.3.16 is used to generate the channel geometry and meshing. Model of the network is used Quad element and the types of Map and Pave for pages and Hex elements and types of Map of Cooper for volumes. Inlet and outlet and wall boundary conditions and symmetry were introduced in the software. Freshwater inlet velocity is considered 1.157e-07 meters per second and saltwater inlet velocity is considered to 0.05 meters per second. The hydraulic gradient of freshwater is considered 0.005 and hydraulic conductivity of freshwater is considered 20 meters per day



Figure 2e. Velocity magnitude contours for the two phase flow for seawater intrusion from right input, freshwater from left input (time =46s).

### CONCLUSION

The multiphase flow is simulated by computational fluid dynamics method in a coastal aquifer. In this paper is paid to two-phase flow simulation by software Fluent6.3 that freshwater input is from the left side and saltwater input is on the right side over underground dam. By using of mixture model and k-ɛ turbulence model in software the two-phase mixture is dissolved. Freshwater inlet velocity is considered 1.157e-07 meters per second and saltwater inlet velocity is considered to 0.05 meters per second. The hydraulic gradient of freshwater is considered 0.005 and hydraulic conductivity of freshwater is considered 20 meters per day. The results of the numerical models show that increasing saltwater hydraulic gradient and times of tidal flow are caused to seawater intrusion from over underground dam to coast. By simulation of tidal flow over the weir of underground dam is defined position of another underground dam at upstream of first underground dam for prevention of seawater intrusion.

#### REFERENCES

[1] B. Biglari, T. W. Sturm, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 124(2), 1998, 156-163.

[2] J. Bear, A.H.-D. Cheng. An overview, Chap. 1, In Seawater Intrusion in Coastal Aquifers---Concepts, Methods, and Practices, eds. J. Bear, A.H.-D. Cheng, S. Sorek D. Ouazar and I. Herrera, Kluwer, **1999**, 1-8.

[3] J. Bear, A. Verruijt. Modeling Groundwater Flow and pollution, Springer, Sep 30, 1987, Science, 414p.

[4] A.H.-D. Cheng, D. Halhal, A. Naji, D. Ouazar. Pumping Optimization in Saltwater – intruded Coastal Aquifers, *J. Water Resourc. Research*, submitted, **1999**.

[5] A.H.-D. Cheng, D. Ouazar. Analytical solutions," Chap. 6, "Seawater Intrusion in Coastal Aquifers---Concepts, Methods, and Practices", eds. J. Bear, A.H.-D. Cheng, S. Sorek D. Ouazar and I. Herrera, Kluwer, **1999**,163-191.

[6] R.G. Cummings, J. Water Resources Research, 7(6),1971,1415-1424.

[7] R.G. Cummings, J. W. McFarland. J. Water Resources Research, 510(5), 1974, 909-915.

[8] G. Dagan, J. Bear. J. Hydrol. Res, 6, 1968, 15-44.

[9] A. Das Gupta, N. Nobi, G.N. Paudyal. J. Ground Water, 34(2), 1996, 349-357.

[10] E. Fatemi, Ataie-Ashtiani. Simulation of Seawater Intrusion Effect on Contaminant transport in coastal aquifer of Tallar, 4<sup>th</sup> National Congress of Civil Engineering, **2008**, Tehran university in Iran.

[11] W. B. GHYBEN. Nota in Verband Met de Woorgenomen Putboring Nabij Amesterdam. Tijdschrift van Let Koninklijk Inst, **1889**, Van Ing.

[12] M. M. Gibson, B. E. Launder, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 86, 1978, 491-511.

[13] S.M. Gorelick. Water Resour. Res, 19, 1983,305-319.

[14] B. Herzberg. Jour. Gasbeleuchtung and Wasserversorgung, 44,1992,815-819.

[15] R. J. Keller, W. Rodi. Journal of Hydraulic Research, IAHR, 26(4), 1988, 425-441.

[16] J. J. McGurik, W. Rodi, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 864, 1978, 761-781.

[17] A. Naji, A.H.-D. Cheng, D. Ouazar. Eng. Analy. Boundary Elements, 23, 1999, 529-537.

[18] A. K. Rastogi, W. Rodi. Journal of Hydraulics Division, ASCE, 104(3), 1978, 397-420.

[19] O. Reynolds. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, 1986, 1984, 123-161.

[20] M. V. Soltani, R. Rahimi Asl, Computational Fluid Dynamics by Fluent Software, Tehran, Tarrah issues, 2003.[21] j. Swanson. Fish kills drive techniques for placing bridge piles. in Jun 3, 2004 issue of Daily Journal of Commerce, 2004, (Portland, OR).

[22] H. K. Versteeg, W. Malalasekera. An Introduction to Computational Fluid Dynamics: The Finite Volume Method, Prentice Hall, Feb 16, **2007**, 503 p..

- [23] D. C. Wilcox. AIAA Journal, vol. 26, 1988, 1414-1421.
- [24] V. Yakhot, S.A. Orszag, S. Thangam, T. B. Gatski, C. G. speziale. Physics of Fluids A, 4(7) 1992 ,1510-1520.
- [25] W.W.-G. Yeh. Water Resour. Res, 22, 1986, 95-108.