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#### Abstract

This work is devoted to theoretical study on molecular structure of glycozolidal. The equilibrium geometry, harmonic vibrational frequencies and infrared intensities were calculated by density functional B3LYP methods with the LanL2DZ basis set and were interpreted in terms of potential energy distribution (PED) analysis. The internal coordinates were optimized repeatedly for many times to maximize the PED contributions. The thermodynamic functions and electronic properties of the title compound were also performed at B3LYP/ LanL2DZ level of theory.
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## INTRODUCTION

Natural products have been the subject of investigation for many reasons. They have got wide applications, such as antibiotics, pharmacologically active agents or are otherwise of interest in human or animal medicine. They hold great potential as probes of the cellular processes in which they interfere. Structural and conformational studies lead to the hypothesis concerning target ligand interactions while synthesis is a means to test this hypothesis by a total synthesis of derivatives [1,2]. The title compound known as glycozolidal (2,7-dimethoxy-9H-carbazole-3carbaldehyde), $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, is a naturally occurring carbazole, which was isolated from the roots of Clausena lansium. Carbazole alkaloids are major compounds found in Rutaceae plants, especially in Clausena genus, which showed diverse pharmacological activities such as anti-cancer, anti-malaria, anti-TB and anti-HIV properties [3].

To the best of our knowledge neither the vibrational analysis nor the comparative quantum chemical calculations for glycozolidal have been reported so far in the literature. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to interpret the theoretically calculated vibrational spectra of the glycozolidal by means of the potential energy distribution (PED) analysis of all fundamental vibrational modes. In this regard, with the help of VEDA program both the PED analysis and its optimization were carried out [4].

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Structure

The crystal structure of the title compound glycozolidal is taken from the work of H. K. Fun et al [3]. The molecular structure using numbering scheme of the title compound is given in fig 1 and the structure as viewed by Gaussian software is shown in fig 2. The experimental FTIR or Raman spectra of the compound are not yet reported so here the theoretically plotted FTIR spectra are shown in fig 3.


Fig 1. Molecular structure of Glycozolidal according to numbering scheme


Fig 2. 3D Molecular structure of Glycozolidal as obtained by gaussview.


Fig 3. Theoretically plotted IR spectra for Glycozolidal

## 3. Computational Details

The entire calculations were performed on an AMD dual core/2.71 GHZ personal computer using Gaussian 03W [5] program package, invoking gradient geometry optimization [6]. Initial geometry was generated from standard geometrical parameters and was minimized without any constraint in the potential energy surface at B3LYP level, adopting the standard LanL2DZ basis set. The optimized structural parameters were used in the vibrational frequency calculations to characterize all stationary points as minima. We have utilized the gradient corrected density functional theory (DFT) [7] with the three-parameter hybrid functional (B3LYP) [8] for the exchange part and the Lee-Yang-Parr (LYP) correlation function [9] for the computation of molecular structure, vibrational frequencies, and energies of the optimized structures. Vibrational frequencies computed at DFT level have been adjudicated to be more reliable than those obtained by the computationally demanding Moller-Plesset perturbation methods. DFT offers electron correlation which is frequently comparable to second-order Moller-Plesset theory (MP2) [10,11]. Vibrational frequencies calculated at B3LYP/LanL2DZ level were scaled by a factor of 0.96 [12]. The calculated normal mode vibrational frequencies were analyzed in terms of the PED contributions by using the VEDA program [4].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1Geometry Optimization.

The optimized structure parameters of glycozolidal calculated by DFT, B3LYP level with the LanL2DZ basis set are listed in Table 1; the atom numbering scheme is given in Fig. 1. Experimental values of bond lengths and angles of glycozolidal are taken from literature [3]. For example the optimized bond lengths of (C-C) in ring R3 falls in the range [1.3982-1.4346 $\AA$ ] , which are in good agreement with those of experimental bond lengths [1.3892-1.4637]; optimized bond lengths of (C-C) in the range [1.401-1.4198 $\AA$ ] for B3LYP method which are in good agreement with the experimental bond lengths[1.3896-1.4013 $\AA$ ]; the optimized bond length of ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ ) in ring R2 falls in the range [1.907-1.4127 $\AA$ ] which are in good agreement with those in experimental x-ray data found in the range [1.3702-1.3927 $\AA$ ]. The optimized bond lengths of (C-O) adjacent ring R1 \& R2 are found to be in the range [1.3949-1.4564 $\AA$ ], which are also in good agreement with experimental values fall in the range [1.3667-1.4347 $\AA$ ]. The optimized bond length of ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) adjacent R 3 is calculated as $1.2568 \AA$ which is in very good agreement with the experimental value found to be $1.2225 \AA$.

Table 1: Optimized geometrical parameters of Glycozolidal at B3LYP/ LanL2DZ Level

| S.No. | Parameter | $\begin{gathered} \text { DFT Method } \\ \text { Bond } \\ \text { lengths(Å) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { X-ray- } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | S.No. | Parameter | DFT Method Bond angles(deg) | X-ray-data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C4-C11 | 1.3982 | 1.3892 | 37 | C12-C11-C10 | 106.9407 | 106.47 |
| 2 | C11-C12 | 1.4346 | 1.4212 | 38 | C11-C4-C3 | 120.2747 | 120.02 |
| 3 | C10-C11 | 1.4585 | 1.4516 | 39 | C11-C4-H4A | 122.3262 | 120 |
| 4 | C3-C4 | 1.4105 | 1.3995 | 40 | C3-C4-H4A | 117.3991 | 120 |
| 5 | C4-H4A | 1.0869 | 0.95 | 41 | C1-C12-C11 | 122.4006 | 123.1 |
| 6 | C1-C12 | 1.4073 | 1.3944 | 42 | N1-C12-C11 | 108.4485 | 109.3 |
| 7 | N1-C12 | 1.3907 | 1.3702 | 43 | N1-C12-C1 | 129.151 | 127.59 |
| 8 | C2-C3 | 1.4343 | 1.425 | 44 | C4-C3-C2 | 119.5569 | 120.03 |
| 9 | C3-C14 | 1.4754 | 1.4637 | 45 | C4-C3-C14 | 119.6095 | 119.5 |
| 10 | C1-C2 | 1.4052 | 1.389 | 46 | C2-C3-C14 | 120.8336 | 120.45 |
| 11 | C1-H1A | 1.0845 | 0.95 | 47 | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 12$ | 117.4124 | 117.29 |
| 12 | O1-C2 | 1.3949 | 1.3667 | 48 | C12-C1-H1A | 120.8995 | 121.4 |
| 13 | C9-C10 | 1.4234 | 1.4158 | 49 | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 121.6882 | 121.4 |
| 14 | C5-C10 | 1.4103 | 1.3962 | 50 | C1-C2-C3 | 121.4827 | 121.15 |
| 15 | N1-C9 | 1.4127 | 1.3927 | 51 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 115.4094 | 115.86 |
| 16 | C8-C9 | 1.4044 | 1.3896 | 52 | O1-C2-C1 | 123.1079 | 122.99 |
| 17 | N1-H1N1 | 1.0097 | 0.89 | 53 | C9-C10-C11 | 106.7886 | 106.16 |
| 18 | C5-C6 | 1.4063 | 1.3954 | 54 | C5-C10-C11 | 133.0621 | 134.11 |
| 19 | C5-H5A | 1.085 | 0.95 | 55 | C5-C10-C9 | 120.1493 | 119.72 |
| 20 | C6-C7 | 1.4198 | 1.4013 | 56 | N1-C9-C10 | 108.4475 | 109.1 |
| 21 | O3-C6 | 1.4009 | 1.3887 | 57 | C8-C9-C10 | 121.6305 | 121.59 |
| 22 | C7-C8 | 1.401 | 1.3975 | 58 | C8-C9-N1 | 129.9221 | 129.31 |
| 23 | C7-H7A | 1.0856 | 0.95 | 59 | C12-N1-C9 | 109.3748 | 108.96 |
| 24 | C8-H8A | 1.0869 | 0.95 | 60 | C12-N1-H1N1 | 125.5432 | 123.8 |
| 25 | O2-C14 | 1.2568 | 1.2225 | 62 | C9-N1-H1N1 | 125.082 | 127.2 |
| 26 | C14-H14A | 1.1006 | 0.95 | 63 | C6-C5-C10 | 118.1705 | 118.43 |
| 27 | O1-C13 | 1.4564 | 1.4347 | 64 | C10-C5-H5A | 120.2393 | 120.8 |
| 28 | C13-H13A | 1.0987 | 0.98 | 65 | C6-C5-H5A | 121.5902 | 120.8 |
| 29 | C13-H13B | 1.0915 | 0.98 | 66 | C5-C6-C7 | 121.1685 | 121.67 |
| 30 | C13-H13C | 1.0987 | 0.98 | 67 | O3-C6-C5 | 124.3296 | 115.45 |
| 31 | O3-C15 | 1.4552 | 1.4337 | 68 | O3-C6-C7 | 114.502 | 122.88 |
| 32 | C15-H15A | 1.0919 | 0.98 | 69 | C8-C7-C6 | 120.9581 | 120.15 |
| 33 | C15-H15B | 1.0993 | 0.98 | 70 | C6-C7-H7A | 117.8853 | 119.9 |
| 34 | C15-H15C | 1.0993 | 0.98 | 71 | C8-C7-H7A | 121.1567 | 119.9 |
|  | Bond angles(deg) |  |  | 72 | C9-C8-C7 | 117.9232 | 118.4 |
| 35 | C4-C11-C12 | 118.8728 | 118.4 | 73 | C9-C8-H8A | 121.7895 | 120.8 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 10$ | 134.1865 | 135.13 | 74 | C7-C8-H8A | 120.2873 | 120.8 |
| 75 | O2-C14-C3 | 123.5689 | 124.07 | 116 | C1-C2-C3-C4 | -0.0009 | -0.33 |
| 76 | C3-C14-H14A | 115.9111 | 118 | 117 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 180.0016 | 179.41 |
| 77 | O2-C14-H14A | 120.5201 | 118 | 118 | C1-C2-C3-C14 | 180.0014 | 178.23 |
| 78 | C2-O1-C13 | 119.2876 | 116.31 | 119 | O1-C2-C3-C14 | 0.0039 | -2.02 |
| 79 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~A}$ | 111.1083 | 109.5 | 120 | C4-C3-C14-O2 | -0.019 | -3.35 |
| 80 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~B}$ | 105.2275 | 109.5 | 121 | C4-C3-C14-H18 | 179.9812 | NA |
| 81 | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{C}$ | 111.1061 | 109.5 | 122 | C2-C3-C14-O2 | 179.9786 | 178.08 |
| 82 | H13A-C13-H13B | 109.7073 | 109.5 | 123 | C2-C3-C14-H18 | -0.0212 | NA |
| 83 | H13A-C13-H13C | 109.8867 | 109.5 | 124 | C12-C1-C2-C3 | 0.0003 | 0.12 |
| 84 | H13B-C13-H13C | 109.7033 | 109.5 | 125 | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 1$ | -180.0023 | -179.61 |
| 85 | C6-O3-C15 | 118.4123 | 116.91 | 126 | H8-C1-C2-C3 | -179.9968 | NA |
| 86 | O3-C15-H15A | 105.3794 | 109.5 | 127 | H8-C1-C2-O1 | 0.0005 | NA |
| 87 | O3-C15-H15B | 111.2818 | 109.5 | 128 | C13-O1-C2-C3 | -179.9875 | 174.23 |
| 88 | O3-C15-H15C | 111.2786 | 109.5 | 129 | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 0.0151 | -6.03 |
| 89 | H15A-C15-H15B | 109.6306 | 109.5 | 130 | N1-C9-C10-C11 | 0.0006 | -0.55 |
| 90 | $\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{C}$ | $109.6268$ | 109.5 | 131 | C8-C9-C10-C11 | 179.9941 | 178.56 |
|  | H15B-C15-H15C | 109.5574 | 109.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | Dihedral angles |  |  | 132 | N1-C9-C10-C5 | 179.9954 | -179.76 |
|  |  |  |  | 133 | C8-C9-C10-C5 | -0.0111 | -0.65 |
| 92 | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | -0.0009 | 0.77 | 134 | C6-C5-C10-C11 | -180.0093 | 179.98 |
| 93 | C12-C11-C4-H5 | 179.9985 | NA | 135 | C11-C10-C5-H30 | -0.003 | NA |
| 94 | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 10$ | 179.995 | -179.17 | 136 | C6-C5-C10-C9 | -0.0025 | -1.08 |
| 95 | C10-C11-C4-H5 | -0.0055 | NA | 137 | C9-C10-C5-H30 | -179.9961 | NA |
| 96 | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 0.0003 | -1.01 | 138 | C12-N1-C9-C10 | -0.0006 | 0.05 |
| 97 | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 179.9971 | 179.22 | 139 | C10-C9-N1-H29 | 179.9966 | NA |


| 98 | C10-C11-C12-C1 | -179.9966 | 178.95 | 140 | C12-N1-C9-C8 | -179.9934 | -178.97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | C10-C11-C12-N1 | 0.0001 | -0.82 | 141 | C8-C9-N1-H29 | 0.0038 | NA |
| 100 | C9-C10-C11-C4 | -179.9968 | -179.22 | 142 | C7-C8-C9-C10 | 0.0152 | 1.98 |
| 101 | C5-C10-C11-C4 | 0.0094 | -0.18 | 143 | C10-C9-C8-H32 | -179.9973 | NA |
| 102 | C9-C10-C11-C12 | -0.0004 | 0.83 | 144 | C7-C8-C9-N1 | 180.0072 | -179.11 |
| 103 | C5-C10-C11-C12 | -179.9942 | 179.87 | 145 | N1-C9-C8-H32 | -0.0053 | NA |
| 104 | C2-C3-C4-C11 | 0.0012 | -0.13 | 146 | C10-C5-C6-C7 | 0.0114 | 1.47 |
| 105 | C14-C3-C4-C11 | 179.9989 | -178.71 | 147 | C10-C5-C6-O3 | 180.0071 | -178.94 |
| 106 | H5-C4-C3-C2 | -179.9983 | NA | 148 | H30-C5-C6-C7 | -179.995 | NA |
| 107 | H5-C4-C3-C14 | -0.0006 | NA | 149 | H30-C5-C6-O3 | 0.0007 | NA |
| 108 | C2-C1-C12-C11 | 0 | 0.56 | 150 | C5-C6-C7-C8 | -0.0073 | -0.13 |
| 109 | C11-C12-C1-H8 | 179.9971 | NA | 151 | C5-C6-C7-H31 | 179.9976 | NA |
| 110 | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 1$ | -179.9961 | -179.72 | 152 | O3-C6-C7-C8 | 179.9966 | -179.69 |
| 111 | N1-C12-C1-H8 | 0.0011 | NA | 153 | O3-C6-C7-H31 | 0.0015 | NA |
| 112 | C9-N1-C12-C11 | 0.0003 | 0.49 | 154 | C15-O3-C6-C5 | 0.0233 | -166.26 |
| 113 | C11-C12-N1-H29 | -179.9969 | NA | 155 | C15-O3-C6-C7 | 180.0193 | 13.32 |
| 114 | C9-N1-C12-C1 | 179.9967 | -179.26 | 156 | C6-C7-C8-C9 | -0.0061 | -1.58 |
| 115 | C1-C12-N1-H29 | -0.0004 | NA | 157 | C6-C7-C8-H32 | 180.0062 | NA |
| 158 | H31-C7-C8-C9 | -180.0111 | NA |  |  |  |  |
| 159 | H31-C7-C8-H32 | 0.0012 | NA |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | C2-O1-C13-H21 | 61.3133 | NA |  |  |  |  |
| 161 | C2-O1-C13-H22 | 179.9794 | NA |  |  |  |  |
| 162 | C2-O1-C13-H23 | -61.3604 | NA |  |  |  |  |
| 163 | C6-O3-C15-H26 | -180.0052 | NA |  |  |  |  |
| 164 | C6-O3-C15-H27 | -61.2498 | NA |  |  |  |  |
| 165 | C6-O3-C15-H28 | 61.2455 | NA |  |  |  |  |

The other calculated bond lengths and bond angles also are in excellent agreement with the experimental values. Based on the above comparison although there some differences between the theoretical values and experimental values, the optimized structural parameters can well reproduce the experimental ones and they are the basis for thereafter discussion.

### 4.2 Vibrational Assignments.

The title molecule has 32 atoms and 90 normal modes of fundamental vibrations. Detailed description of vibrational modes can be given by means of normal coordinate analysis. The vibrational assignments are achieved by considering the band positions and intensities with wave numbers and intensities from molecular modeling calculations at B3LYP/ LanL2DZ level. The experimental FT-IR spectrum of the title compound is not reported yet and the theoretical spectra are shown in Fig 2. Vibrational frequencies calculated at B3LYP/ LanL2DZ level were scaled by 0.96 [12]. The descriptions concerning the assignment have also been listed in Table 2. VEDA Program [4] was used for PED analysis and to assign the calculated harmonic frequencies. The harmonic vibrational frequencies calculated for glycozolidal at B3LYP level using LanL2DZ have been collected in Table 2. Several calculated thermodynamic parameters are presented in Table 3. The supplementary data related to all calculations are available from the corresponding author.
4.2 (a)Carbonyl Absorption. Carbonyl absorptions are sensitive and both the carbon and oxygen atoms of the carbonyl group move during the vibration and they have nearly equal amplitude. In the present study the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration is obtained at 1619,1603 and $1568 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with potential energy distribution(PED) as $10 \%, 17 \%$ and $11 \%$ respectively. This stretching mode $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ is reported in the range $1620-1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}[13,14,15]$.
$4.2 b C-H$ Vibrations. The hetero aromatic structure shows the presence of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibrations in the region $3000-3100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is the characteristic region for the ready identification of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibration [16]. In the present study the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibrations of the title compound are calculated at frequencies 3110, 3103, 3102, 3090 and $3076 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with high PED values. The various bending vibrations assigned in this study are also supported by the literature [16].
4.2c Methyl group vibrations. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ anti symmetric stretching vibrations are calculated at 3062, 3057, 2994 and $2985 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with PED values $85 \%, 89 \%, 50 \%$ and $50 \%$ respectively., whereas $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ symmetric vibrations are obtained
at 2911 and $2905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, with PED as $89 \%$ for both frequencies. These assignments are also supported by the literature [17]. In the present study various bending vibrations of CH 3 group are summarized in Table 2 and are also supported by the literature [17].

Table 2. Vibrational wave numbers obtained for Glycozolidal at B3LYP/LanL2Z
IR intensities and assignment with P.E.D. in square brackets


| 59 | 1348 | 1294 | 52 | $v(\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 1)$ [16] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 1391 | 1336 | 29 | ¢(H14A-C14-O2)[22]+v(C-C)R3[22] |
| 61 | 1420 | 1363 | 22 | ф(H14A-C14-O2)[30] |
| 62 | 1443 | 1385 | 13 | ф(H14A-C14-O2)[24] |
| 63 | 1472 | 1414 | 122 | ¢(H15A-C15-H15C)boat[16] |
| 64 | 1480 | 1421 | 22 | ф(H13A-C13-H13C)boat[16] |
| 65 | 1495 | 1436 | 10 | $\phi(\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{C})[15]+\phi(\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{C})[13]+\phi(\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~B})[10]$ |
| 66 | 1501 | 1441 | 91 | $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{R} 1[11]$ |
| 67 | 1512 | 1452 | 13 | ¢(H15A-C15-H15C)rock[39] |
| 68 | 1515 | 1454 | 14 | ф(H13A-C13-H13B)[39] |
| 69 | 1516 | 1456 | 156 | ф(H13A-C13-H13B)[39] |
| 70 | 1520 | 1459 | 17 | $\phi(\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{C})[40]+v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{R} 3[11]$ |
| 71 | 1524 | 1463 | 60 | $\phi(\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 21)[39]+\phi(\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{C})[13]$ |
| 72 | 1529 | 1468 | 71 | $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{R} 3[11]$ |
| 73 | 1615 | 1551 | 19 | $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{R} 1[21]+v(\mathrm{C} 14=\mathrm{O} 2)[11]$ |
| 74 | 1632 | 1568 | 407 | $v(\mathrm{C} 14=\mathrm{O} 2)[11]$ |
| 75 | 1637 | 1573 | 94 | $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{R} 3$ [25] |
| 76 | 1668 | 1603 | 7 | $v($ C14 $=\mathrm{O} 2)[17]+v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{R} 2[10]$ |
| 77 | 1686 | 1619 | 189 | $v($ C14 $=$ O2) [10] $+v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{R} 1[13]$ |
| 78 | 3024 | 2905 | 52 | $v(\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 3) \operatorname{asym}[45]+v(\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 3)$ symm[44] |
| 79 | 3029 | 2911 | 64 | $v(\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 3) \operatorname{asym}[45]+v(\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 3)$ symm[44] |
| 80 | 3062 | 2940 | 70 | $v($ C14-H14A) [100] |
| 81 | 3109 | 2985 | 53 | $v(\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 3)$ [50] |
| 82 | 3119 | 2994 | 49 | $v(\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 3) \mathrm{symm}[50]$ |
| 83 | 3183 | 3057 | 35 | $v(\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 3)$ [89] |
| 84 | 3190 | 3062 | 25 | $v(\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 3)$ symm[89] |
| 85 | 3204 | 3076 | 12 | $v(\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H8A})[89]+\mathrm{vC7}-\mathrm{H7A})[10]$ |
| 86 | 3218 | 3090 | 1 | $v($ C4-H5A )asym[99] |
| 87 | 3231 | 3102 | 16 | $v(\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{asym}[72]$ |
| 88 | 3232 | 3103 | 1 | $v(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{asym}[74]$ |
| 89 | 3238 | 3110 | 8 | $v$ (C7-H7A)asym[88]+ $v$ (C8-H8A) asym[10] |
| 90 | 3689 | 3542 | 65 | $v($ N1-H1N1)[100] |

Note: Abbreviations used here have following meaning. v: stretching; vsymm: symmetric stretching; vasym: asymmetric stretching; $\varphi$ : in-plane bending; $\omega$ : out-of-plane bending; $\tau$ : torsion; R: Ring; adj: adjacent.
$4.2 d(C-C)$ Ring Vibrations. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ aromatic stretch known as semi-circle stretching are calculated at frequencies $1441,1336,1287,1250$ and $1166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with low PED values as shown in Table 2. In literature [18,19] the $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})$ in plane stretching mode is reported at frequency $1327 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The out of plane vibrations according to Whiffen [20] are well known although, it is more difficult to find force field for these vibrations.
$4.2 e(C-N)$ Vibrations. The identification of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ vibrations is a difficult task, since the mixing of vibrations is possible in this region. In this study the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibrations are calculated at frequencies 1294 and $1232 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with PED values as $16 \%$ and $14 \%$ respectively. The various bending and torsional vibrations assigned in this study are also supported by the literature [21].
$4.2 f(C-O)$ Vibrations. In this study the C-O stretching vibrations are calculated at $1160,1147,1092$ and $981 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with PED as $17 \%, 17 \%, 26 \%$ and $60 \%$ respectively, these stretching as well as various bending vibrations are well supported by the literature [22].
$4.2 g(N-H)$ Vibrations. The stretching vibration of $v(N-H)$ is calculated at $3542 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with $100 \%$ PED. Some bending vibrations are calculated and the values are supported by the literature [23].
4.2h Other Molecular and Electronic properties. The frontier orbitals, HOMO (Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital) and LUMO(Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital) determine the way a molecule interacts with other species. The frontier orbital gap helps characterize the chemical reactivity and kinetic stability of the molecule. A molecule with a small frontier orbital gap is more polarizable and is generally associated with a high chemical reactivity, low kinetic stability and is also termed as soft molecule [24]. The frontier orbital gap in case of Glycozolidal is found to be 3.63 eV , which suggests probably the high kinetic stability, low porizability. The HOMO is the orbital that primarily acts as an electron donor and the LUMO is the orbital that largely acts as the electron acceptor. The 3D plots of the frontier orbitals HOMO and LUMO and electron density (ED) for the title molecule are shown in Fig 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. The dipole moment in a molecule is an important property that is
mainly used to study the intermolecular interactions involving the non bonded type dipole-dipole interactions, because higher the dipole moment, stronger will be the intermolecular interactions. For the studied molecule the dipole moment is calculated as 5.6353 Debye.

Several other thermodynamic parameters calculated at B3LYP/ LanL2DZ level are presented in Table 3. In this study total energy of the title compound is calculated as $-859.22 \mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$, while zero point energy is obtained as $153.58 \mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{Mol}$. Values of rotational constants are found to be $0.78913,0.18998$ and 0.15577 GHz . The total entropy is calculated as $127.517 \mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{K}$ comprising the vibrational part as maximum.

Table 3. Theoretically computed zero-point vibrational energy, rotational constants, entropies and dipole moment for Glycozolidal.


Fig 4. HOMO of Glycozolidal
Fig 5. LUMO of Glycozolidal


Fig 6. Total density of Glycozolidal

## CONCLUSION

The equilibrium geometries and harmonic frequencies of glycozolidal, reported to be bioactive molecule, were determined and analyzed at DFT B3LYP/LanL2DZ level of theory. The vibrational frequency calculation proved that the structure is stable (no imaginary frequencies). Although neither the FTIR nor Raman spectra of the title molecule, are reported, still the theoretical study of vibrational dynamics, geometry optimization, electronic properties and thermodynamical parameters are of great importance for the better insight of the title compound. With the continuing need for novel structures and the difficulty of gaining access to large tracts of biodiversity in
habitats, combinatorial chemistry blended with modern quantum chemical methods can prove to be extremely useful for the researchers.
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