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The factors that are taken into account to ensure the quality of manuscript in review are relevance, soundness, significance, originality, readability and language.

Judgment
The possible decisions include acceptance, acceptance with revisions, or rejection. If authors are encouraged to revise and resubmit a submission, there is no guarantee that the revised submission will be accepted. Rejected articles will not be re-reviewed.

Copyright
The paper acceptance is constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism.
Submission
No research can be included in more than one publication.

Financial Support
Any financial support that contribute the findings or research in the manuscript, along with the details of financial support and its sources, should be acknowledged.

AUTHORS
An author should ensure to present the research work in an authentic manner along with the significance. The authors should ensure to present their original works, and a proper citation should be made on citing the works of others. Imitation or intentionally inaccurate statements constitute unethical activities and are unacceptable. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing activities and is unacceptable. The manuscript should not be submitted to more than one journal simultaneously at the same time as constitutes unethical publishing activities and is unacceptable. The corresponding author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in approving the final version of the paper and its submission for publication.

Responsibilities of Authors
Raw data and experimental proof
Authors may ask to make available the experimental data in link with manuscript for editorial review, and should be organized to provide public access to such data.

Originality and Plagiarism
An author should make sure that the submitted manuscript presents the research work in an authentic manner along with the significance.

Acknowledgement
Corresponding author should make sure that all persons, society or group have helped in any aspects of the research project, should be acknowledged.

Author and co-authors
The corresponding author should make sure that all suitable co-authors and no unsuitable co-authors have incorporated in the manuscript, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Hazards
The author should clarify in manuscript, if the experimental work involves chemicals, procedures or equipments that have any unusual hazards.
Research work involving animals or humans
Author must insist on following ethical practices in both human and animal experimentation. Evidence for approval by a local Ethics Committee (for both human as well as animal studies) must be supplied by the authors on demand.

Conflicts of Interest statement
All authors should reveal in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to manipulate the interpretation of results of their manuscript.

Errors in published Manuscript
Authors must inform to editor if they find out any errors in their published paper

REVIEWER

Reviewer is responsible to both the author and the editor in regard to the manuscript. Peer review process is the method by which the quality of research is judged. Peer-Reviewed publications help to judge the funding decisions in science and the academic advancement of scientists.

Responsibilities of Reviewer

Reviewers Contribution
Reviewer’s comments help to both, the editor to take the editorial decision and the author to improve the quality of manuscript and finally the readers to come across with a good quality research work.
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